

**DECISION OF REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY VATAKARA  
DATED 05.09.2024**

- Present:-**
- 1. Sri.Snehilkumar Singh IAS,  
District Collector and Chairman,  
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara.**
  - 2 . Sri .Nidhinraj P IPS  
District Police Chief  
Kozhikode (Rural) and Member of  
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara**
  - 3. Sri.C V M Sharief  
Deputy Transport Commissioner,  
North Zone, Kozhikode and Member of  
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara**

**Item No: 1**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The regular permit was granted by RTA Vadakara in its meeting held on 11.04.2023 vide item No.7 . Direction to produce current records was issued on 21.06.2023. On 04.07.2023 the grantee submitted a request allow maximum time to produce current records. The grantee has submitted the records of SC KL 73 A 4546 only on 12.03.2024 . Rule 159(2) KMV Rules specify that, in the event of any applicant failing to produce the certificate of registration within the specified period (not exceeding 4 months), authority may revoke the sanction of application. In this case the records produced by the grantee only on 12.03.2024, which is beyond the limit. No evidence to substantiate the delay in producing the records submitted by the grantee. Hence the request to condone the delay is **rejected**. The regular permit granted by this authority on the route Koyilandy Kattilepeedika Via. Harbour, Poyilkavu Beach, Kappad Angadi, Kannankadavu as Ordinary service is **revoked**. "

**Item No.2**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The regular permit was granted by RTA Vadakara in its meeting held on 21.08.2023 vide item No.11 . Direction to produce current records was issued on 10.10.2023. On 03.11.2023 the grantee submitted a request allow maximum time to produce current records. The grantee has submitted the records of SC KL 10 V 9332 on

17.02.2024. In this case the records produced by the grantee on 17.02.2024. During the hearing the grantee submitted to condone the delay . Request found genuine and **condoned the delay** . Secretary RTA shall comply the decision of this authority dated 21.08.2023.

#### **Item No.3**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The regular permit was granted by RTA Vadakara in its meeting held on 21.08.2023 vide item No.15 . Direction to produce current records was issued on 10.10.2023. On 04.11.2023 the grantee submitted a request allow maximum time to produce current records. The grantee has submitted the records of SC KL 13 R 442 only on 02.08.2024. Rule 159(2) KMV Rules specify that, in the event of any applicant failing to produce the certificate of registration within the specified period (not exceeding 4 months), authority may revoke the sanction of application. In this case the records produced by the grantee only on 02.08.2024, which is beyond the limit. No evidence to substantiate the delay in producing the records submitted by the grantee. Hence the request to condone the delay is **rejected**. The regular permit granted by this authority on the route KUMBALACHOLA-PARAKADAVU( Via) Kakkattil, Nadapuram as Ordinary service is **revoked**. "

#### **Item No.4**

" Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for reconsideration of fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route Balussery- Meppayur (Via) Kottaramukku, Vakayad, 11<sup>th</sup> kandi Naduvannur, Kavumthara, Kurudimukku, Narakkode as Ordinary service. This application was considered by RTA meeting held on 27.02.2024 vide item No.13 and adjourned for directing the applicant to submit a fresh set of proposed timings by providing a fairly number trips to Balussery.

The modified time schedule and report of MVI perused . The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1)of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of



permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready and suitable Light Motor Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

#### **Item No.5**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for reconsideration of fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the inter district route Balussery - Ulliyeri (via) Kairali Road, Mannampopil, Muthuvath, Arakkalpeedika, Kunnakodi, Chiraprathvayal, Modakkallur MMC. This application was considered earlier by RTA meeting held on 27.02.2024 vide item No.16 and adjourned for directing the applicant to obey the section 70(b) of MV Act. The secretary RTA shall also be directed to furnish the frequency report of the sector.

The applicant has not even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa.

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready and suitable Light Motor Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

#### **Item No.6**

\* This is an adjourned application to reconsider for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route PERAMBRA- AYANCHERY (VIA) Kadiyangadpalam, Thekkedathkadavu, kelothmukku, Velom, Theekuni, Bajanamadam, Poolakkool and Palliayath. The matter was considered by the RTA meeting held on 27.02.2024 vide item No.20. and adjourned since the applicant was absent. Now the Secretary RTA placed the matter after issuing notice to the applicant. This meeting also the applicant fails to appear

More over the applicant, in his application has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit. For the above reasons the application for fresh permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No.7**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for reconsideration for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the inter district route VADAKARA- THALASSERY (via) Koottangaram, Kurinhaliyode, Muyipra, Orkatteri, Monthalkadavu, Mekkunnu and Manhodi as Ordinary service. This application was considered by RTA meeting held on 27.02.2024 vide item No.22 and adjourned for detailed enquiry report showing the sector wise frequency of stage Carriages on the applied route.

During the meeting several objections received against this proposal. Sri. Binith Balan, Sri. Narayanan, Sri. Sereena, Sri. EVB Ayisha, Sri. Sajith, Sri. TP Premanathan, Sri. Haris, and Sri. Abhilash, the enroute operators on the applied route strongly objected the proposal, stating that the route portion from Mekkunnu-Chokli-Manjodi-Thalassery is over saturated with stage Carriages. On perusal of the frequency report submitted by the Motor Vehicle Inspector reveals that Mekkunnu-Thalassery portion is saturated with minimum gap of 3 minutes. From the above facts this authority feels



that grant of this permit may create unhealthy competition , time clash resulting in accidents . Hence **rejected**.

#### **Item No.8**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an adjourned application for reconsideration of fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route VADAKARA-KUTTIYADI (via) Ayanchery- Tharopoyil, Perambra, Kuttiyadi, Kakkattil and Theekkuni as Ordinary service.

While considering this application in the RTA meeting held on 27.02.2024 vide item No.32 the applicant was absent .

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready and suitable Light Motor Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

#### **Item No.9**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application to endorse the fresh permit to the newly purchased vehicle KL 10 AK 0465 instead of the SC KL 56 1188 .A regular permit was granted by RTA Vadakara in its meeting held on 27.02.2024 vide item No.27. The grantee produced current records of the vehicle KL 56 1188 on 13.06.2024. On perusal of the connected records , it is seen that a timings was settled in the timing conference . But later the applicant produced the current records of another SC KL 10 AK 0465 and requested to endorse the above granted permit to the vehicle KL 10 AK 465 instead of KL 56 1188 stating that the running condition of the vehicle KL 56 1188 is not in good condition . This authority examined

the documents and found that timings were settled . Hence the Secretary RTA shall **issue** the regular stage carriage permit granted by RTA held on 27.02.2024 vide item No.27 to operate on the route VADAKARA-KOORACHUNDU (via) Chaniyamkadavu, Perambra, Paithoth, Thanikandy, Chembramukku, Chakkittapara, Narinada , Korrachundu to the stage carriage KL 10 AK 0465.

**Item No.10**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route KOYILANDY- CHEEKILODE (Via) Kannure junction, UlloorKadavu, Koomully, Atholi and Thiruvangoor Junction as Ordinary service.

The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa.

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready and suitable Light Motor Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

**Item No .11**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route KOYILOTHUMPADI- NANDI MARKAZ COLLEGE (via) Purakkad,



Damodaranaymukku, Keezhur, Payyoli, Avikkal Beach, Thikkodiangadi and Kodikal Beachas Ordinary service. On perusal of the enquiry report submitted by the Motor Vehicle Inspector, it is seen that the enquiry reported that there is no overlapping on the notified route. But one of the termini shown in proposed timing is Payyoli, which is located on the NH 66.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa.

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act. The Secretary shall also be directed to conduct an enquiry on the overlapping on the notified sector and submit the report in the next RTA meeting.

#### **Item No .12**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route KOYILANDY-ULLOORAKADAVU (via) ITI, Elatteri, Nadakkal, Cheliyaas Ordinary service.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him

to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor Vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready suitable Light Motor Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa, under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

#### **Item No.13**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **NADAPURAM-KADAVATHUR** (via) Kallachi, Vanimel, Kuyitheri, Valayam, Parakkadavu, Chekkiyad and Ummathur Ordinary service. On perusal of the application and connected records, the following points are observed.

1. The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of



permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

2. During the meeting enroute operators objected the proposal, stating that grant of this permit may create unhealthy competition. The proposal timings not shown the via between the terminies. So this authority directed the Secretary RTA to verify the above matter and place while reconsidering this application this authority.

#### **Item No. 14**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **Thottilpalam-Vatakara - Chuzhali** (via) Mullankunnu, Maruthonkara, Kallachi, Nadapuram as Ordinary service. During the meeting several objections received against this proposal from the enroute Operators on the applied route strongly objected the proposal, stating that the route Portion from Thottilpalam-Vatakara is over saturated with stage Carriages.

The enquiry officer reported that Thottilpalam-Nadapuram-Vadakara is a well served sector and introduction of new stage carriage service will create time clash and unhealthy competition between stage carriage operators who operates service in this sector.

For the above reason the application for fresh permit **is rejected**.

#### **Item No.15**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **Passukkadavu-Thalassey** (via) Mullankunnu, Adukath, Nadapuram, Kuttiady, , Peringathoor, Manjodi as Ordinary service . While considering the application the following facts are

observed.

1. During the meeting .Sri. Shaji NK, Sri.Prayag, Smt.Ramla Madathil, Sri.Surendran, Sri. Dasan T , the enroute operators including KSRTC , objected the proposal, stating that there is no time gap for a new permit.
2. The route portion from Peringathur to Thalassery lies in the jurisdiction of sister RTA Kannur. Hence prior concurrence is required .
3. The Motor Vehicle Inspector reported that Pasukadavu-Kuttiyadi is ill served and Kuttiyadi-Nadapuram -Thalassery is well served sector.
4. The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1)of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa.

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence this authority **adjourned** the application

- i) Directing the applicant to furnish the registration mark and their particulars before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act.
- ii) Directing the Secretary RTA for a) Seek concurrence from Sister RTA Kannur on the portion Peringathur- Thalassery. b) Conduct a detailed enquiry wheather it is possible to arrive a clash free set of timings on the well served sector.



**Item No.16**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **Vatakara-Sand Banks (via) Thazhe Angadi** as ordinary service.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

**Item No.17**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route MUCHUKUNNU COLLEGE - PAYYOLI-KOLAVIPALAM (via) Purakkad, Kizhuras Ordinary Service. On perusal of the enquiry report submitted by the Motor Vehicle Inspector, it is seen that the enquiry reported that there is no overlapping on the notified route. But one of the termini shown in proposed timing is Payyoli, which is located on the NH 66.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him

to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor Vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act. The Secretary shall also be directed to conduct an enquiry on the overlapping on the notified sector and submit the report in the next RTA meeting.

#### **Item No.18**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **Vadakara, Pasukadavu** (via) thiruvallur, Chiramukku, Manikoth Thazha Palam, Palliyath Edavarad, Kallode, Perambra, Manimala, Valakettu, Poyil Mukku, Vadayam, Kuttiyadi, Adukkath, Mullankunnu as Ordinary service.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of



permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

#### **Item No .19**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route MANKAYAM-PERAMBRA (via) Kinaloor Estate, Vattoli bazar, Poonath, Koottalida, Manjapalam, Balussery, Puliyottumukku, Chalikkara, Kayanna, Padikunnu as Ordinary service.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

**Item No .20**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route BALUSSERY-KOOTTALIDA (via) Block Road, Devimukku, Kuthirapanthi, Nalliyari, Parukandi, Amayathuvayalas Ordinary service. After having thorough review of application, proposed time schedule, and the field officers enquiry report, the authority has noted the following facts.

1. The route portion from Balussery to Devimukku, nearly 50% of the total route length is well served sector. The grant of this permit may create unhealthy competition, resulting time clash and accidents.
2. The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle till the date of meeting, that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

For the above reasons the above fresh permit application is **rejected**.

**Item No.21**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route CHUZHALI-NADAPURAM (via) Kalikulambu, Kaively, Kallunira Ordinary service. On perusal of the application and connected records, the following points are observed.



1. The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

2. During the meeting enroute operators objected the proposal, stating that grant of this permit may create unhealthy competition. The proposal timings not shown the via between the terminies. So this authority directed the Secretary RTA to verify the above matter and place while reconsidering this application this authority.

#### **Item No .22**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route POONOOR-BALUSSERY(via) Ezhukandi, Kinaloor college, Rarothmukku, Chathththazha, Panagad North, Kurumpoyil, Arapeedika as Ordinary service.

After having a thorough review of above application, the proposed time schedule, and field officers route enquiry report the authority noted the following facts.

1. As per the proposed timings the termi Kurumpoyil -Poonoor and Balussery are connected through Palamthala, Kinalur, and Chathoth Thazha. But as per the route sketch these places are not shown. That is the report is not specific .

2.The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence the application is **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act. The Secretary RTA is also directed to conduct a detailed specific report regarding the via of termini.

**Item No.23.**

"Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route IRINGANNUR- VATAKARA (via) Orkateri, Malolmuku, Kurikilad.

After having a thorough review of above application , the proposed time schedule , and field officers route enauiry report the authority noted the following facts.

1. The Motor Vehicle Inspector Vada kara ,who conducted the enquiry reported that route portion from Vatakara Narayana Nagaram junction to Pazhankavu Junction NH 66 (1.4 km) in Kozhikode district overlaps with notified route Thiruvananthapuram -Kannur (Notification G.O.(P) No.13/2023/TRANS



dtd.03/05/2023 S.R.O No.537/2023and overlapping in the notified route/approved schemes is objectionable as per Clause 5(c) of above notification.

2. The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

For the above reasons **the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

#### **Item No.24**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route PERAMBRA- THOTTILPALAM (VIA) Chembra, Chakkittappara, Narinada, Koorachundu, Peruvannamuzhi and Pasukkadavu as Ordinary service.

After having a thorough review of above application , the proposed time schedule , and field officers route enquiry report the authority noted the following facts.

1. The enquiry officer does not mentioned the class of vehicle suitable for operating this service.
2. The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act. *The Secretary RTA shall also report the feasibility by conducting a detailed enquiry regarding the suitability of the vehicle proposed by the applicant to operate on the applied route.*

#### **Item No.25**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route PERAMBRA-KOORACHUNDU (via) Paithoth, Thanikandi, Valayamkandam, Chakkittapara, Narinadaas Ordinary service. After having a thorough review of above application, the proposed time schedule, and field officers route enquiry report the authority noted the following facts.

1.The enquiry officer does not mentioned the class of vehicle suitable for operating this service.

2.The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been



granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act. *The Secretary RTA shall also report the feasibility by conducting a detailed enquiry regarding the suitability of the vehicle proposed by the applicant to operate on the applied route.*

**Item No.26**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route PAYYOLI- MUYIPOTH JUNCTION-PERAMBRA (via) Palachuvad, Muyipoth as Ordinary service. The Motor Vehicle Inspector who conducted the enquiry reported that the portion Palachuvadu Payyoli well served sector and having an average time gap of 5 minutes . During the meeting enroute operators including KSRTC objected the proposal stating that grant of this permit may lead unhealthy competition . On analysing the submitted reports and the objection this authority feels that introduction of a new stage carriage service in this sector may lead to time clashes and unhealthy competition among existing stage carriage operators, who already provide services in this area and resulting road accidents.

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

Considering the above facts **the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

**Item No.-27**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **Vadakara- Manappuram Mukku** touching Perambra (via) Chaniyam kadavu,

Muyipoth, Cheruvannur, Janakeeyamukku, Meppayur, Keezhpayur Palli as Ordinary service.

1.The Motor Vehicle Inspector ,SRTTO Perambra conducted the enquiry reported that the proposed route will be beneficial for the travelling publics in interior ill served areas like Manappuram Mukku, Narikkuni, Meppayur and Janakeeyamukku etc. and only one Stage carriage is operating on the route Cheruvannur and Manappuram Mukku . On perusal of the proposed timings only two trips(one in the morning and one in the night ) is provided in the proposal to these areas.

2.The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

Hence this authority directing the applicant to submit modified set of proposal by offering more number of trips to the ill served sector Meppayur to Manappuram Mukku and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act.

As a result of the above requirements , the decision of application for fresh permit on the route Vadakara- Manappuram Mukku touching Perambra (via) Chaniyam kadavu, Muyipoth, Cheruvannur, Janakeeyamukku, Meppayur, Keezhpayur Palli as Ordinary service is **adjourned**

#### **Item No.28**

\* Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route MANIYUR- KOYILANDY (Via) Panikotty, Vadakara, Chaniyamkadavu, Perambra, Arikulam as Ordinary service on the surrendered vacancy of SC KL 08 AT 9096.

The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1)of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to



grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

#### **Item No.29**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route VADAKARA-THOTTILPALAM (via) Kainatty, Vannathipoyil, Nadapuram, Kuttiyadi as Ordinary service in the surrender vacancy of SC KL 57 M 5137.

1.The Motor Vehicle Inspector Vadakara, who conducted the enquiry reported that Thottilppalam-Nadapuram-Vatakara is a well served portion of the route. He also added that addition of new service in the Vatakara-Nadapuram-Thottilppalam will create time clash and unhealthy competition between stage carriage operators who operates services in this sector. The route overlaps the notified route for 4 kms, which is above the permissible limit and violates the provisions as per GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans date 03.05.2023.

2.The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

3. On perusal of the time schedule , it is noticed that the major portion of the operation is on the sector Vadakara-Thottilpalam, which is a well served route . There is no need for a regular permit on this sector . For the above reasons the application for fresh permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.30**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route PERAMBRA-AYANCHERI(via) Kallode, Moorikuthi, Kalloorkavu temple, Parakadavathbridge, Channilottu, Valakettu, Bhajanamadam, Poomugham and theekunias Ordinary service.

The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1)of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act.



**Item No.31**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route PERAMBRA-AYANCHERI(via) Kallode, Moorikuthi, Kalloorkavu temple, Parakadavathbridge, Channilottu, Valakettu, Bhajanamadam, Poomughamand Theekunias Ordinary service.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence ***Adjourned***, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

**Item No:32**

"Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application For fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **Pasukadavu-Kuttiyadi- Thottilpalam- Perambra** (via) Mullankunnu, Chembanoda, Chakkittapara.as Ordinary service. The enquiry report is not specific. The frequency of services on the route not furnished. The details of each trip on the route proposed not furnished by the applicant .

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa.

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act. The applicant shall also furnish details of each trip and Secretary RTA shall conduct a specific enquiry on the frequency of Stage carriages on the proposed route for reconsideration.

#### **Item No .33**

"Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route VADAKARA OLD BUS STAND-THALASSERY (via) Kootanagaram, Kurinhaliyode, Orkkateri, Kunnummakkara, ChirayilPeedika, Monthalkadavu, Makkunnu, Panoor, Manhodi as Ordinary service. Enquiry reveals that route length of the proposed is 40.4 Kms. A distance of 19.2 Kms lies in Kozhikode districts and 21.2 Kms lies in Kannur districts. The major portion of the route lies in Kannur District. Section 69(1) of MV Act provides that if the applicant proposed to use the vehicle in two or more regions lying within the same State, the application shall be made to the RTA of the region in which the major portion of the proposed route of area lies. Hence the applicant shall file a fresh application before Secretary RTA Kannur. Hence this application stands **rejected**.



**Item No.34**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **Maniyoor - Vadakara-Malol Mukku** (via) Kuttoth , Palayad, Vaikilissery Road, Kurikkilad as Ordinary service.

As per the proposed timings no trip is proposed to Malolmukku after 04.00 PM . Since Malolmukku is an ill served area , evening trips to and from Malolmukku is necessary for the benefit of passengers . The applicant shall submit a modified set of timing proposal, providing more number of trips to Malolmukku.

The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with modified time schedule .

**Item No.35**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **KALLIKANDY-VADAKARA NEW BUS STAND** (via) Parakadavu, Nadapuram, Purameri, and Kuningad

as Ordinary service.

The enquiry report reveal that the distance of 2 Kms lies in Kannur district. Secretary RTA shall obtain concurrence for the portion of the route lying in Kannur district. The frequency of existing services on the route not furnished by Secretary. Secretary RTA shall conduct a detailed enquiry on this aspects and submit a report.

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act, along with concurrence from sister RTA and detailed report.

#### **Item No.36**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **Atholi- Ulliyeri-Naduvannur-Kuttalida-Perambra** (via) MMC,Kottur, Moolad, Puliottumukku, Chalikkara, Muliyangal as Ordinary service.

The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1)of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a



ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

#### **Item No.37**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the **inter district** route CHUZHALI-THALASSERY(via) Valayam, Kallachi, Nadapuram, Peringathur and Manjodi as Ordinary service.

1.This is an application for inter district permit . Concurrence from Sister RTA Kannur is necessary for further consideration of this application . There fore , the decision of the above application is adjourned for want of concurrence from sister RTA Kannur . Secretary RTA will seek concurrence from Sister RTA Kannur and place the application before this authority.

2.The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with concurrence from sister RTA

**Item No.38**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the **inter district** route VILANAGAD -THALASSERY(via) Vanimel, Kallachi, Nadapuram, Peringathur and Manjodi as Ordinary service.

1. This is an application for inter district permit. Concurrence from Sister RTA Kannur is necessary for further consideration of this application. Therefore, the decision of the above application is adjourned for want of concurrence from sister RTA Kannur. Secretary RTA will seek concurrence from Sister RTA Kannur and place the application before this authority.

2. The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.



The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with concurrence from sister RTA

#### **Item No.39**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the **inter district route** THALASSERY-VILANGAD (via) Manjodi, Peringathur, Nadapuram, Kallachi and Vanimel as ordinary Service.

1. This is an application for inter district permit. Concurrence from Sister RTA Kannur is necessary for further consideration of this application. There fore, the decision of the above application is adjourned for want of concurrence from sister RTA Kannur. Secretary RTA will seek concurrence from Sister RTA Kannur and place the application before this authority.

2. The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with concurrence from sister RTA

**Item No .40**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route MUTHUKAD-KOZHIKODE MEDICAL COLLEGE (via) Perambra, Kuttiyadi, Kozhikode, Mullankunnu as Ordinary service. On verification of the time schedule proposed by the applicant, the running time is not in accordance with existing running time of ordinary stage carriage services. Feasibility and necessity of a fresh permit not reported by the enquiry officer. Secretary RTA shall conduct enquiry on the above aspects and submit a report.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.



Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act along with specific report.

**Item No.41**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route POONOOR-NARIKUNI (via) PoonoorHS, Parappil, Ekarool, Balussery, Padinjarekandi, Vattoli Bazar, Kappuram, Iyyad, Palangad and Elettill Vattolias Ordinary service.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

**Item No .42**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route KINALOOR-PERAMBRA

(via) Earvadimukku, Kappiyil, Ekarool, Balussery, Manjapalam, Kootalida, Narayamkulam, Kayanna, Moolad, Chalikkara and Muliyanal as Ordinary service.

1. On examining the proposal only one trip is proposed to the interior areas of Kinaloor. Hence the applicant is directed to submit a fresh set of timings by providing two or more trips to Kinaloor.

2. The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with modified time schedule.

#### **Item No.43**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route VADAKARA-AYANCHERY- MANIYUR-MALOL MUKUTHANNEERPANTHAL (via) Villiappally, Kottappally, Memunda, Palayad, and Kurikkilad as Ordinary service. (Vehicle No. not furnished)

On examining the proposal only few trip is proposed in the interior areas of Malol mukku. Hence the applicant is directed to submit a fresh set proposed timings by providing a fairly reasonable number of trips to Malolmukku. Secretary RTA shall



also report whether this application is in accordance with the definition of **route** as per section 2(38) of MV Act.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor Vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. Under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with modified time schedule and specific report.

#### **Item No.44**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route **Perambra- Vadakara** (via) Kallode, Chenayi, Avala, Palliyath, Mangad, Chiramukku, Thiruvallur, Muyipoth as Ordinary service. (Vehicle No. not furnished).

1. The enquiry officer reported that The proposed route Perambra- Vadakara (via) Kallode, Chenayi, Avala, Palliyath, Mangad, Chiramukku, Thiruvallur, Muyipoth pass through interior areas of Kozhikode district. But on verifying the records the route of travel of each trip is not specified in proposal and report. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to submit a specific report in this matter.

2.The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1)of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act , along with specific report.

#### **Item No .45**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route MMC HOSPITAL- BALUSSERY GOVT. HOSPITAL (via) Ulliyeeri, Naduvannur, 11 th Kandy, Kovilakamthazha, Thattambath, Kozhikodan Kandy Temple, return trip Balussery to Naduvannur via Kokkallur as Ordinary service.

1 The enquiry officer reported that 1.2 kilometer from Kovilakamthazha to 11<sup>th</sup>kandy junction is virgin portion. Hence The Secretary, RTA shall obtain a road fitness certificate duly authenticated by concerned authority.

2.The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished



the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with the fitness certificate.

#### **Item No .46**

"Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route KURUMPOIL-KINALOOR USHA SCHOOL (via) Kannadi Poyil, Thiruvancheri Poyil, Arapeedika, Balussery, Vattoli Bazar, Ezhukandy, Kinaloor, halt at Balussery as Ordinary service.

On verification of the proposed time schedule, it is seen that no trips are seen provided in the morning hours to Kinalur Usha School. The applicant shall submit modified time schedule with more trips to Kinalur Usha School.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act , along with modified time schedule.

#### **Item No.47**

"Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permitto operate on the route VILANGAD-NADAPURAM (via) Vanimel, Kallachi, Nadapuram as ordinary Service.

On perusal of the time schedule proposed by the applicant , the passing time at Kallachi is not seen proposed. Kallachi is a major town and a intermediate point of various routes on that sector. The applicant shall submit a modified time schedule showing passing time at Kallachi .

The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1)of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.



The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with modified time schedule.

**Item No .48**

" Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route KUTTIYADI-MANIMALA-PERAMBRA( via) Neelechukunnu, Vadayam, PoyilMukku, Valakettu, Odolithazha, Palliyath, Manimal, Avala and Pannimukku as Ordinary service. On verification of the time schedule proposed by the applicant, the running time is not in accordance with existing running time of ordinary stage carriage services. Only two single trips are provided to Manimala, which is an ill served area. The applicant shall submit a modified time schedule with more trips between Manimala and Kuttiyadi and running time as per the existing running time prescribed to the Ordinary Services. Secretary RTA shall conduct detailed enquiry and report regarding the frequency of services on various sectors of the route.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of

permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with modified time schedule and specific report.

#### **Item No.49**

1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 02/08/2024 in WPCNo.27579/2024.

2. Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the inter district route THALASSERY- KOZHIKODE -halt at Ulliyeri (via) Kokkallur, Balussery, Kakkur, Karaparamba, By pass (Eranhipalam), Kozhikode stand, Byepass, Koraparamba, byepass, Kundu Paramba, Byepass, Pooladi kunnuu, Atholi, Ulieri, Naduvannur, Perambra, Kaiyangad, Kuttiyadi, Kakkattil, Kallachi, Nadapuram, Thuneri, Peringathur, Mekkunnu, Chokli, Temple gate Junction and Manjodi as Ordinary service.

3). This is an application for inter district permit. Concurrence from Sister RTA Kannur is necessary for further consideration of this application. Therefore, the decision of the above application is adjourned for want of concurrence from sister RTA Kannur. Secretary RTA will seek concurrence from Sister RTA Kannur and place the application before this authority.

4) The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions



of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act along with concurrence from Secretary RTA, Kannur.

#### **Item No.50**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route NADAPURAM-PACHAPALAM-AYANCHERY-VADAKARA (via) Parakadavu, Valayam, Vanimel, Purameri, Orkatteri, Pulikkol, Thanneerpanthal- as Ordinary service.

On verifying the records the route of travel of each trip is not specified in proposal and report. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to submit a specific report in this matter.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle

is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act along with specific report on the modified proposal.

#### **Item No.51**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route KADAMERI- VATAKARA- KUTTIYADI-PERAMBRA (via) Kottappalli, Thiruvallur, Chaniyam Kadavu, Villiappally, Ayancheri, Theekuni, Palliyath as ordinary Service.

On verifying the records the route of travel of each trip is not specified in proposal and report. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to submit a specific report in this matter.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been



granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act along with specific report on the modified proposal.

#### **Item No.52**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for resh regular stage carriage permitto operate on the route BALUSSERY-KOOTTALIDA- PERAMBRA-NADUVANNUR-(via) Block road,Arattumukku, Chalikara,Koottalida Road junction as Ordinary service.

The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1)of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act.

**Item No.53**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route KALLANODE-PALLIYATH (via) Koorachundu, Kayanna, Muliyangal, Perambra, Kallode, Edavalath thazha, Avala, Gulikapuzha as Ordinary service.

As per the time schedule proposed by the applicant, most of the trips are concentrated between Perambra and Palliyath. Only single trips are proposed to Kallanode, Koorachundu and Edavarad. Applicant shall submit a revised time schedule with more trips to Kallanode, Koorachundu and Edavarad.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor Vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with modified time schedule.

**Item No.54**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route VADAKARA-PERAMBRA (via) Thodannur, Thiruvallur, Muiyipoth and Cheruvannuras Ordinary service.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished



the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars thereof before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act.

#### **Item No.55**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route PERAMBRA-KUTTIYADI (via) Pannimukku, Avala, Palliyath, Peruvayal, Koolikunnu, Urath, Neelechukunnu, Vadayam, Valakettu, Manimala Parkas Ordinary service.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of

permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2)of Motor Vehicles Act.

#### **Item No.56**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route PERAMBRA-AYANCHERI(via) Kallode,Chanayi, Edavarad, Avala, Palliyath, Tharopoyil, Pannimukku as Ordinary service.

As per the time schedule proposed by the applicant , majority of the trip is between Ayanchery and Perambra. Only two single trips are proposed to Palliyath. The applicant shall submit a modified time schedule with more trips to Palliyath.

The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1)of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section



85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, along with modified proposal of time schedule.

**Item No.57**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route KALLANODE-MMC MEDICAL COLLEGE ULLIYERI touching BALUSSERY(via) Manjapalam, Koottalida, Padikunnu, Koorachundu, Kottoor, Naduvannoor and Ulliyeri as Ordinary service.

As per the time schedule proposed by the applicant, Only two single trips are proposed to Kallanode. The applicant shall submit a modified time schedule with more trips to Kallanode.

The applicant has not, even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle" that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any, for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence **Adjourned**, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars

there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act , along with modified proposal of time schedule.

**Item No.58**

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the route PAYYOLI-CHAKITTAPARA (via) Palachuvadu, Muyipoth, Perambra, Meppayur, Thanakandipalam, Valayamkandam as Ordinary service.

As per the time schedule proposed by the applicant , Only one single trip is proposed to Chakkittapara . The applicant shall submit a modified time schedule with more trips to Chakkittapara.

The applicant has not , even at the time of hearing today, furnished the registration mark and other particulars of any vehicle owned by him. No person other than the owner of a motor vehicle is entitled to a permit authorising him to use the vehicle as a transport vehicle as per the provisions of section 66 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the prescribed form of permit in form P.St.Sa

The applicant has offered a "suitable vehicle "that has no existence outside his own imagination. This authority is under no legal obligation to grant permit to a non-existent vehicle. The suitability or otherwise of a vehicle is a matter to be determined by this authority and therefore the availability of a ready vehicle is a relevant consideration for the grant of permit. The grant of permit to a non-existent vehicle would not serve any public purpose. On the other hand, it will only help promote illegal sale and trafficking in permit.

The time limit prescribed in KMV Rule 159 (2) is to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle in favour of which a permit has been granted if any ,for the purpose of making entry in the permit in terms of section 85 of the Motor vehicles Act and not for facilitating the applicant to procure ownership of a vehicle after the sanction of the application.

Hence ***Adjourned***, until after the applicant has acquired the ownership of a ready Vehicle and furnish the registration mark and their particulars there of before this authority, as prescribed in the form P.St.Sa. under section 70 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act , along with modified proposal of time schedule.



**Item No.59**

A distance of 15 Kms from Valayam to Peringathur falls within the jurisdiction of this authority . It is reported that there is no objectionable overlapping with any notified schemes. Hence **Concurrence granted as Ordinary service**

**Item No:60**

"Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-41 1625** permitted to operate on the route Palliyath - Koyilandy - Perambra Meppayyur (Via) Muthambi, Keezhariyur, Naduvannur, Anjampeedika, Arikkulam, as ordinary service and Variation is applied for -Extension from Perambra to Kuttiadi (Via) Kadiyangadu, Paleri.

This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports. As per the report of MVI the proposed additional trip from Perambra- Kuttiyadi is through well served sectors with an average time gap of 5 minutes. Further allotment of additional trip will cause competition among stage carriages , resulting in accidents.

Hence the variation requested by the permit holder the vehicle KL-41 1625 cannot be recommended and there is no urgent necessity as per KMVR 145 (6) for considering the proposed variations. **For the above reasons, application for variation of permit is rejected**

**Item No:61**

"Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-18 AE 3456** permitted to operate on the route Vatakara -Palliyath, (Via)Perambra. Variation applied for

- 1) Extension from Thiruvallloor to Kanhirattuthara 2 KM.
- 2) Halting Place Changed from Thiruvallloor to Kanhirattuthara.

As per the report of MVI the the curtailment of last trips from Thiruvallur to Vatakara and changing the halting place to Kanhirattuthara will adversely affect the existing passengers. There is no urgent necessity, as per KMVR 145(6), to consider the proposed variations. **For the above reasons, application for variation of permit is rejected**

**Item No:62**

This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-18 U 0153** permitted to operate on the route Vadakara - Kokri Via. Nadapuram, Chuzhali, Kallunira, Valayam, Kallachi, Kakkattil, Kokkri as Ordinary Service. Variation applied for

- 1) Curtailment of the portion from Chuzhali to Kokri 5 km.

2) Extension Valayam to Kallunira Via Kundumkara.

As per the report of MVI The route in Curtailment will cause the scarcity of stage Carriages and will adversely affect the travelling public of this area, since this portion is more ill served area compared to extended sector.

The existing timing is kept unaltered. Hence proposed time change for these trips may be settled by conducting a timing conference. There is no urgent necessity as per KMVR 145(6) for considering the proposed variations. **For the above reasons, application for variation of permit is rejected.**

1)

**Item No:63**

\*Heard. This is an application for application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-18 F 1080** permitted to operate on the route Vatakara – VilangadKainatty, (Via) Orkkattery, Nadapuram, Kallachi, Vanimel, Kakkattil, Theekkuni. Variation applied for

- 1) Extension to Puthukayam to Pachappalam
- 2) Extension from Kakkattil to Kaiveli
- 3) Reduce a trip Vadakara – Nadapuram on NH

On verification it is ascertained that the intention of the permit holder is to reschedule the existing time in guise of the proposed Variation. Most of the existing time has been changed. There is no urgent necessity as per KMVR 145(6) for considering the proposed variations. **For the above reasons, application for variation of permit is rejected.**

**Item No: 64**

\*Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL 76 C 6786** permitted to operate on the route MEPPAYUR- THAMARASSERY (via) Koyilandy, Balussery, Poonoor as Ordinary Service. Variation applied for

1. Extending the route from Estatemukku to Rajagiri -1km(2 trips)
2. Curtailment of one round trip from Meppayur-Koyilandy.
3. Reduction of one round trip from Koyilandy- Estatemukku.
4. Change of halting place from Koyilandy- Rajagiri.

A specific report on the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed variation and frequency of services on the extended portion need to be ascertained before considering the application. A fresh enquiry shall be conducted and a detailed report (including frequency of service on the variation)and necessity under rule 145(6) of KMV rules shall be submitted before this authority for reconsideration.



For the compliance of above directions the decision of the application for fresh permit **adjourned**

**Item No:65**

"Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-56 V 3546** permitted to operate on the route MEENCHANTHA-KUTTIYADI as LSOS (via) Kozhikode, Atholi, Perambra. Variation applied for

- 1.Curtailing the route portion from Kozhikode to Meechandha .
2. Extension of route from Kozhikode to Kozhikode medical college.
- 3.Addition of one round trip from Naduvannure to Kadiyanga.

It is reported that proposal of one additional trip from Naduvannur to Kadiyangad and back will be beneficial to the early morning passengers and varriation to Medical college will benefit the patients and their companions . It is also reported that curtailment of one trip at 06.54 AM is through a well served and saturated portion . Hence variation **granted** subjected to the settlement of timings.

**Item No: 66**

"Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 K 7475** permitted to operate on the route pasukkadavu-Kuttiady-Nadapuram-Thottilapam- Perambra (via Mullankunnu, Adukath Maruthonkara Road-Kadiyangad as ordinary service for

- 1)Curtailment of portion from Kuttiady to Perambra
- 2)Additional Round Trip from Kuttiady to Pasukkadavu (via) Mullankunnu

The enquiry Officer ,MVI Perambra reported that the proposed additional trip from Kuttiady to Pasukkadavu (via) Mullankunnu will be highly beneficial to the travelling public and students in the moderately served sector of the route. Since the curtailment portion from Kuttiady to Perambra is a well served sector and will not seriously affect the travelling public .Hence application for variation is **granted** subjected to the settlement of timings.

**Item No: 67**

Applicant absent ,Hence **adjourned**

**Item No: 68**

"Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL 56 G 9300** permitted to operate on the route Thamarassery-Koyilandy-via -Balussery-Ullyeri as ordinary service

It is reported that variation arises as reduction in trip from Ekarool to Balussery and Balussery to Thamarassery. Instead one additional trip from Ekarool to Thamarassery is provided and there is no additional trip in the Notified sector. It is also reported that the halting place is changed from Thamarassery to Ekarool to facilitate the variation. The variation does not violate clause 19 of GO(P) No 8/2017 Trans dtd 23/3/2017 and Sec 80(3) of MV act. Hence **variation granted** subjected to the settlement of timings.

**Item No.69**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 R 1080** to operate on the intra district route Vadakara - Kozhikode Medical college (Via) Kainatty, Nadapuram, Kakkattil, Kuttiyadi, Perambra, Ulliyeri, Atholi, Pavangadu as LSOS for a further period of 5 years from 03.04.2024. The permit holder applied for renewal of permit on 08.05.2024. According to the notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans, dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala " the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules, 1989 in each fare stage, subjected to the conditions (i) The route length of such ordinary service shall not exceed 140 kms, (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route.

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms. This permit was issued before 14.07.2009. Under these circumstances, delay is condoned and renewal of permit is **granted** to operate on the inter district route Vadakara - Kozhikode Medical college (Via) Kainatty, Nadapuram, Kakkattil, Kuttiyadi, Perambra, Ulliyeri, Atholi, Pavangadu for a further period of 5 years **as Ordinary Services**, subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.



#### **Item No.70**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 M 1236** to operate on the intra district route GULIKAPUZHA - KOZHIKODE as LSOS for a further period of 5 Yyears from 23.08.2024. According to the notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans ,dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala " the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules , 1989 in each fare stage , subjected to the conditions (i)The route length of such ordinary service shall not exceeds 140 kms , (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route .

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms .This permit was issued before 14.07.2009. Under these circumstances renewal of permit is **granted** to operate on the inter district route\_\_GULIKAPUZHA - KOZHIKODE for a further periods of 5 years from 23.08.2024 **as Ordinary Services** , subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

#### **Item No.71**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 K 1161** to operate on the intra district route Kozhikode - Ulliyeri - Perambra - Kuttyadi - Nadapuram- Kainatty - Vatakara - Koyilandy - Kozhikode (Circular Route) as LSOS for a further period of 5 Yyears from 19.04.2024.

Objections has been received against the renewal stating that there exist a dispute among the board members of the firm which owns the permit . Secretary RTA shall conduct an enquiry and hear the concerned and submit a detailed report .  
**Adjourned**

**Item No.72**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 K 1116** to operate on the intra district route Mullankunnu - Kozhikode as LSOS (via) Kuttiadi, Perambra, Ulliyeri, Atholi, Pavangad for a further period of 5 Years from 30.04.2024

Objections has been received against the renewal stating that there exist a dispute among the board members of the firm which owns the permit. Secretary RTA shall conduct an enquiry and hear the concerned and submit a detailed report.  
**Adjourned**

**Item No.73**

1. Perused the judgement in wpc No 23671/20224 of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 02/07/2024.

"Heard. In compliance of Hon'ble High Court, the renewal of permit was granted by this authority as Ordinary Service. In compliance to the order of Hon'ble High Court, S/c **KL 59 M 2333 is permitted to operate as LSOS, subject to final orders in this regard.** Secretary RTA shall file a counter affidavit before Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No.74**

Perused the judgement in wpc No No 23671/20224 of Hon'ble High Court dated 02/07/2024.

"Heard. In compliance of Hon'ble High Court, the renewal of permit was granted by this authority as Ordinary Service. In compliance to the order of Hon'ble High Court, S/c **KL 46 M 3355 is permitted to operate as LSOS, subject to final orders in this regard.** Secretary RTA shall file a counter affidavit before Hon'ble High Court.



#### **Item No.75**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 59 P 0693** to operate on the intra district route KALLACHI - KOZHIKODE- as **LSOS** for a further periods of 5 years from 24.10.2024. According to the notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans ,dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala " the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules , 1989 in each fare stage , subjected to the conditions (i)The route length of such ordinary service shall not exceeds 140 kms , (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route .

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms .This permit was issued before 14.07.2009 Under these circumstances renewal of permit is **granted to operate on the intra district route KALLACHI - KOZHIKODE as Ordinary Services** , subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in clause 4 of notification G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

#### **Item No.76**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 58 H 6429** to operate on the intra district route CHETTAKANDY PALAM- KOZHIKODE AS LSOS(VIA) Kuttiyadi, Perambra, Atholi ,for a further period of 5 years from 20.06.2024. According to the notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans ,dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala " the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules , 1989 in each fare stage , subjected to the conditions (i)The route length of such ordinary service shall not

exceeds 140 kms , (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route .

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms .This permit was issued before 14.07.2009 Under these circumstances renewal of permit is **granted to operate on the intra district route CHETTAKANDY PALAM- KOZHIKODE (VIA) Kuttiyadi, Perambra, Atholi for a further period of 5 years from 20.06.2024 as Ordinary Services** , subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in clause 4 of notification G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

#### **Item No.77**

"Heard, this is to condone the delay in submission of application for renewal of permit and to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 56 4300** to operate on the intra district route MUYIPOTH – KOZHIKODE-MEDICAL COLLEGE as LSOS(via)Atholi, Nadakavu& return via bypass and Karapparambafor a further period of 5 Yyears from 17.06.2024.

Objections has been received against the renewal stating that there exist a dispute among the board members of the firm which owns the permit . Secretary RTA shall conduct an enquiry and hear the concerned and submit a detailed report . Hence **Adjourned**

#### **Item No.78**

"Heard, this is a belated application dated 13.06.2024 to consider the renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL-58 D 4063** Permitted to operate on the intra district route VADAKARA- THANNEERPATHAL- AYANCHERY (via) Kalleri, KanalPalam, Katameri and Valliyadas Ordinary service with permit No.18/1041/2014 for a further period of 5 years from 10.06.2024 . The application for renewal of permit was filed on 13.06.2024 , which was not within the time stipulated u/s 81(2) of MV Act 1988 , along with



a delay of condo nation request stating that he could not file application in time due to ill health and a medical certificate is seen attached.

This authority is convinced that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient reason from filing the application within the stipulated time as per section 81(2). The delay occurred in submitting the application is **condoned. Renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service**, as per stipulations in clause (4) of Notification GO(P) NO.13 /2023/Tran dated 0305.2023 subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Secretary RTA is permitted to endorse renewal from the date of application for renewal.

**item No.79**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 56 F 5301** to operate on the intra district route KUTTIYADI-PERAMBRA-KOZHIKODE as LSOS (via)Kadiyangad, Ulliyri, Atholi and pavangad for a further period of 5 years from 18/08/2024. According to the notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans ,dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala " the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules , 1989 in each fare stage , subjected to the conditions (i)The route length of such ordinary service shall not exceeds 140 kms , (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route .

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms .This permit was issued before 14.07.2009 Under these circumstances renewal of permit is **granted to operate on the intra district route KUTTIYADI- PERAMBRA- KOZHIKODE (via)Kadiyangad, Ulliyri, Atholi and pavangad for a further period of 5 years from 18/08/2024 as Ordinary Services** , subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in clause 4 of notification G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

**Item No.80**

1.Perused the Order in WPC No.20371/2024 Dtd. 06/06/2024 of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.

"Heard. In compliance of Hon'ble High Court , the renewal of permit was granted by this authority as Ordinary Service . In compliance to the order of Hon'ble High Court, S/c **KL 05 AL 3699** **is permitted to operate as LSOS, subject to final orders in this regard.** Secretary RTA shall file a counter affidavit before Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No.81**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL-11 AP 6399** to operate on the intra district route KUMBALACHOLA -KOZHIKODE-(VIA)Kuttiyadi ,Perambra-Ullyeri-Atholi as LSOS for a further period of 5 years from 28.12.2023. According to the notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans ,dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala " the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules , 1989 in each fare stage , subjected to the conditions (i)The route length of such ordinary service shall not exceeds 140 kms , (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route .

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms .This permit was issued before 14.07.2009 Under these circumstances renewal of permit is **granted to operate on the intra district route KUMBALACHOLA -KOZHIKODE-(VIA)Kuttiyadi ,Perambra-Ullyeri-Atholi for a further period of 5 years from 28/12/2023 as Ordinary Services** , subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in clause 4 of notification G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.



#### **Item No.82**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 58 S 7707** to operate on the intra district route **KOZHIKODE -KUTTIADY (VIA) Pavangad,Ullyeri,Atholi,Perambra** as LSOS for a further period of 5 Yyears from 18.03.2024. According to the notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans ,dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala " the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules , 1989 in each fare stage , subjected to the conditions (i)The route length of such ordinary service shall not exceeds 140 kms , (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route .

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms .This permit was issued before 14.07.2009 Under these circumstances renewal of permit is **granted to operate on the intra district route KOZHIKODE -KUTTIADY (VIA) Pavangad,Ullyeri,Atholi,Perambra for a further period of 5 years from 18.03.2024 as Ordinary Services** , subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in clause 4 of notification G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

#### **Item No.83**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 AD 8969** to operate on the inter district route **Maniyur-Thalassery (via) Palayad,Kuttoth,Vatakara,Mahepalam** ,as Ordinary Servicesfor a further periods of 5 years from 31.07.2024.

This permit was issued before 14.07.2009. Under these circumstances renewal of permit is **granted** to operate on the inter district route **Maniyur-Thalassery (via)**

Palayad, Kuttoth, Vatakara, Mahepalam for a further periods of 5 years from 31.07.2024 **as Ordinary Services**, subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

**Item No.84**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 AE 8789** to operate on the inter district route Lokanarkkavu Temple -Thalassery (via) Vadakara and Maheppalam as Ordinary Services for a further periods of 5 years from 06.04.2024. This permit was issued before 14.07.2009. along with a delay of condo nation request stating that he could not file application in time due to ill health and a medical certificate is seen attached.

This authority is convinced that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient reason from filing the application within the stipulated time as per section 81(2). The delay occurred in submitting the application is **condoned. Renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service**, as per stipulations in clause (4) of Notification GO(P) NO.13 /2023/Tran dated 0305.2023 subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Secretary RTA is permitted to endorse renewal from the date of application for renewal.

**Item No.85**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 Y 7007** to operate on the inter district route Kottakkal-Thalassery (via) Vatakara, Mahepalam, as Ordinary Services for a further periods of 5 years from 20.09.2024.



This permit was issued before 14.07.2009. Under these circumstances renewal of permit is **granted** to operate on the inter district route Kottakkal-Thalassery (via) Vatakara, Mahepalam, for a further periods of 5 years from 31.07.2024 **as Ordinary Services**, subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

**Item No.86**

1. Perused the order in WPC No.25908/2024 Dated 19.07.2024 of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.  
"Heard. the renewal of permit was granted by this authority as Ordinary Service. In compliance to the order of Hon'ble High Court, S/c **KL 18 P 7562 is permitted to operate as LSOS, subject to final orders in this regard.** Secretary RTA shall file a counter affidavit before Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No.87**

"Heard. the renewal of permit is **granted** by this authority as Ordinary Service, subject to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

**Item No.88**

"Heard. The delay occurred in submitting the application is **condoned**. The renewal of permit is **granted** by this authority as Ordinary Service, subject to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

#### **Item No.89**

1.Perused the order in WPC No.25462/2024 Dated 17.07.2024 of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.

"Heard., the renewal of permit was granted by this authority as Ordinary Service . In compliance to the order of Hon'ble High Court, S/c **KL 53 D 7138** **is permitted to operate as LSOS, subject to final orders in this regard.** Secretary RTA shall file a counter affidavit before Hon'ble High Court.

#### **Item No.90**

"Heard , 1) This is to consider the application for renewal permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 5853** to operate on the route Muthukad-Chambanoda-Peruvannamuzhi-Perambra-Vatakara. The renewal of permit is **granted** subject to clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & production of No Objection certificate from the financier, if applicable

2.Secretary RTA shall endorse the replacement on renewing the permit

#### **Item No.91**

Heard , 1)This is to consider the application for renewal permit in respect of S/C **KL 11 U 5859** to operate on the route Perambra-Vadakara Via. Meppayur, Payyoli. The renewal of permit is granted,subjected to clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & production of No Objection certificate from the financier, if applicable

2.The vehicle produced for replacement is owned by Smt Greeshma , proposed transferee; the transfer of permit which was granted by in its meeting held on 27.02.2024 vide item No. 104. The incoming vehicle is not in the possession of permit holder. Secretary RTA shall consider the replacement application on production of a suitable vehicle by the permit holder within the prescribed period.



**Item No.92**

"Heard , 1) This is to consider the application for renewal permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 C 5603** and to operate on the route VATAKARA - THURASSERIMUKKU - KURUMTHODI - PAYYOLI (via) Panikkotty, Bank road, Mudappilavil, Navodaya, Maniyoor, Attakundupalam and Keezhur. The renewal of permit is **granted** subject to clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & production of No Objection certificate from the financier , if applicable"

2. Secretary RTA shall endorse the replacement on renewing the permit

**Item No.93**

"Heard, transfer of permit is allowed in respect of S/C **KL 39 0100**, subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.94**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 37 2182** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.95**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 18 F 1080** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.96**

"Heard, transfer of permit (on death) is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 11 AF 0819** in the name of legal heir Smt. Bindu Chonnintavida thazha Kuniyil , subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.97**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 56 C 3015** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable",

**Item No.98**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 58 D 2757** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.99**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 56 H 4976** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.100**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 77 A 4849** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.101**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 18 C 5949** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.102**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 18 Y 4201** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.103**

"Heard, This is an application for transfer of in respect of S/C **KL-60 Q 4806** from the permit holder Sri.Subinesh to the name of Sri. Abdul Rahiman H (Registered owner of the vehicle under lease) and from the name of Sri. Abdul Rahiman H to the name of Sri.P P Vijayan. The respective parties appeared in the meeting. **Transfer of permit allowed** from the name of permit holder Sri.Subinesh to the name of Sri. Abdul Rahiman H and subsequently from the name of Sri. Abdul Rahiman H to the name of Sri.P P Vijayan, subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable"



**Item No.104**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 65 J 5465** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.105**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL18 Y 5861** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No.106**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 08 BA 5306** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:107**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 05 U 6616** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:108**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 32 H 7979** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:109**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 11 BR 8413** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:110**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 59 A 9286** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:111**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 18 K 7475** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:112**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 18 W 7189** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:113**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 38 E 8021** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:114**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 58 R 7767** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:115**

"Heard, This is an application for transfer of in respect of S/C **KL 38 H 8452** from the permit holder Sri.Unnikrishanan.K to the name of Sri.Vinod Kumar PT (Registered owner of the vehicle under lease) and from the name of Sri.Vinod Kumar PT to the name of Smt.Shyni. The respective parties appeared in the meeting. **Transfer of permit allowed** from the name of permit holder Sri.Unnikrishanan.K to the name of Sri.Vinod Kumar PT and subsequently from the name of Sri.Vinod Kumar PT to the name of Smt.Shyni, subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable"

**Item No:116**

"Heard, This is an application for transfer of in respect of S/C **KL 18 A 7358** from the permit holder Sri.Sadik to the name of Sri.Jaseer, (Registered owner of the vehicle under lease) and from the name of Sri.Jaseer, to the name of Smt.Greeshma P V,. The respective parties appeared in the meeting. **Transfer of permit allowed** from the name of permit holder Sri.Sadik to the name of Sri.Jaseer, and subsequently from the name of Sri.Jaseer, to the name of Smt.Greeshma P V, subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable"

**Item No:117**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 18 R 7569** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".



**Item No:118**

Heard, An objection submitted by the permit holder, regarding the transfer of permit . Secretary RTA shall call the applicants for hearing , conduct an enquiry and submit a detailed report. Hence **adjourned**.

**Item No.119**

\*Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 57 A 9339** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co, if applicable".

**ITEM NO.120**

Heard, This authority view that lightning strike without prior notice creates hardships to travelling publics and is a clear violation of permit condition. This have to be strictly dealt with .

Secretary RTA shall issue individual memo to the permit holders of all stage carriages, which have participated in the lightning strike on 22.07.2023, calling for explanation within a period of 7 days . Their explanation shall be looked in to and if not found satisfactory, an amount of Rs. 7500/- shall be compounded from them .

In the event of lightning strikes in future , strict action shall be initiated by Secretary RTA against the crew and permit holders, after issuing showcause notice to the offenders . Action shall be initiated U/s 86 of MV Act against the permit holders.

**Item No: 121.**

Heard ,RTA had allowed transfer of permit from the name of Sri. Bilu to the name of Sri. Alikutty . Due to the demise of the proposed transferee Sri. Alikutty , the transfer of permit could not endorsed . The legal heirs of Sri. Alikutty has requested to retain the permit to the name of permit holder ,Sri. Bilu. Secretary RTA had heard the concerned and submitted that there is no objection in retaining the permit . Hence the decision of RTA held on 11.05.2022 vide item No.46, granting the transfer of permit stands **revoked**.

**Item No.122**

Applicants are **absent** while the matter is considered. Hence decision of the application transfer of permit is **adjourned**. Secretary, RTA shall place the application in the next meeting of RTA with due notice to the applicants indicating the date, time and venue of the meeting.

**Item No.123**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 56 Y 2384** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:124**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 56 Y 9077** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:125**

Heard, Both applicants have submitted willingness to withdraw the application for transfer of permit . **Request allowed** subject to utilization of prescribed fee for transfer of permit.

**Item No.126**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 12 L 0715** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".

**Item No:127**

"Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed** in respect of S/C **KL 56 C 9326** subject to clearance of Govt dues, if any, and production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable".



**Item No.128**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 59 V 1616** to operate on the inter district route Parassinikkadavu-Kozhikode (via) Kannur,Thlassery,Vatakara ,Koyilandy, ,as LSOS for a further periods of 5 years from 08.04.2024. According to the notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans ,dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala " the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules , 1989 in each fare stage , subjected to the conditions (i)The route length of such ordinary service shall not exceeds 140 kms , (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route .

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms .This permit was issued before 14.07.2009. Under these circumstances renewal of permit is **granted** to operate on the inter district route Parassinikkadavu-Kozhikode (via) Kannur,Thlassery,Vatakara ,Koyilandy, for a further periods of 5 years from 08.04.2024 **as Ordinary Services** , subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

**Item No :129**

Heard, This authority decides to suspend the permit for a period of 15 days from 01.11.2024 .The permit holder shall intimate the place of garage and Secretary RTA shall watch the service of the vehicle. The permit holder is also given an option to compound the offence for an amount of Rs. 1000/- per day for 15 days in lieu of suspension.

**Item No.130**

Decision already taken by this authority by circulation under rule 130 of KMV rules ,in compliance to the order of Hon'ble High Court in WP© No.26608/2024.

**tem No:131**

Ratified the action taken by the Secretary, RTA, Vatakara.

**Item No:132**

Nil

**Item No:133**

Will be intimated later.

**Supplementary -Item No.1**

"Heard. the renewal of permit was granted by this authority as Ordinary Service . In compliance to the order of Hon'ble High Court, S/c **KL 65 J 5465** was permitted to operate as LSOS. This is an application for further renewal of permit . In view of prevailing interim order of Hon'ble High Court in WP© No. 40718/2023, S/C **KL 65 J 5465** , **is permitted to operate as LSOS subject to final orders in this regard.** The renewal is allowed subject to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

On verification of the records it is found that major portion of the route lies in Malappuram district. Hence Secretary RTA shall make arrangements to transfer the connected files to the Secretary RTA Malappuram.

**Supplementary -Item No.2**

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 56 H 2700** to operate on the intra district route **villiappally - Vadakara - Kozhikode (Via) Payyoli, Koyilandy as LSOS** for a further period of 5 Yyears from 16.04.2024. (Intra district, Route length 52Km). According to the



notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans ,dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala \* the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules , 1989 in each fare stage , subjected to the conditions (i)The route length of such ordinary service shall not exceeds 140 kms , (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route .

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms .This permit was issued before 14.07.2009. Under these circumstances renewal of permit is granted to operate on the intra district route villiappally -Vadakara - Kozhikode (Via) Payyoli, Koyilandy as Ordinary Services , for a further periods of 5 years subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

### **Supplementary -Item No.3**

"Heard. the renewal of permit was granted by this authority as Ordinary Service . In compliance to the order of Hon'ble High Court, S/c **KL-13 AG 2390** was permitted to operate as LSOS. This is an application for further renewal of permit . In view of prevailing interim order of Hon'ble High Court in WP© No. 40736/2023, S/C **KL-13 AG 2390** , **is permitted to operate as LSOS subject to final orders in this regard.** The renewal is allowed subject to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

On verification of the records it is found that major portion of the route lies in Malappuram district. Hence Secretary RTA shall make arrangements to transfer the connected files to the Secretary RTA Malappuram.

#### Supplementary -Item No.4

"Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL-13 AQ 0459** (Replaced permit to KL 58 K 0459) to operate on the inter district route **Kannur -Kozhikode as LSOS (via) Thalassery- Vadakara** for a further periods of 5 years from 17.06.2024. According to the notification GO(P) No. 13/2023 /Trans ,dated 03.05.2023 issued by the Government of Kerala " the existing valid regular permit as on 14.07.2009 in operation with trips and vehicles of private stage carriage operators will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service only with stops in all the approved bus stop under rule 206 of KMV Rules , 1989 in each fare stage , subjected to the conditions (i)The route length of such ordinary service shall not exceeds 140 kms , (ii) If existing route length exceeds 140 kms such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route .

Route length of the existing route is below 140 kms .This permit was issued before 14.07.2009. Under these circumstances renewal of permit is granted to operate on the inter district route **Kannur -Kozhikode (via) Thalassery- Vadakara as Ordinary Services** , subjected to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.

#### Supplementary -Item No.5

"Heard, the renewal of permit was granted by this authority as Ordinary Service . In compliance to the order of Hon'ble High Court, S/c **KL 08 AW 7799** was permitted to operate as LSOS. This is an application for further renewal of permit . In view of prevailing interim order of Hon'ble High Court in WP© No. 43252/2023, S/C **KL 08 AW 7799** , **is permitted to operate as LSOS subject to final orders in this regard.** The renewal is allowed subject to (1) stipulations laid down in G.O(P) No. 13/2023/Trans dt 03.05.2023 (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. Dues, if any & remittance of fee for the above applications, if not paid.



On verification of the records it is found that major portion of the route lies in Malappuram district. Hence Secretary RTA shall make arrangements to transfer the connected files to the Secretary RTA Malappuram.

1. **Sri. Snehilkumar Singh IAS**,  
District Collector and Chairman,  
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara.



2. **Sri Nidhinraj P IPS**  
District Police Chief  
Kozhikode (Rural) and Member of  
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara



**NIDHINRAJ. P IPS**  
**DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF**  
**KOZHICODE RURAL**

3. **Sri. C V M Sharief**  
Deputy Transport Commissioner,  
North Zone, Kozhikode and Member of  
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara

