NO C10/RTA/2024/ATL

Regional Transport Office, Attingal

Dated 10/07/2024

Phone 0470-2626400

E-mail:kl16.mvd@kerala.gov.in

From

The Secretary

Regional Transport Authority, Thiruvananthapuram,

Attingal. (Rural)

To

The Supervisor

S.S. G. Cell, Transport Commissionerate,

Thiruvananthapuram.

Sir,

Sub:- Motor Vehicle Dept-Decision of the Regional Transport Authority held on 04/03/2024publication in web site – request reg:-

Ref:- Direction from Deputy Transport Commissioner, South Zone, Thiruvananthapuram.

I request to make necessary arrangements to publish the decision of the Regional Transport Authority,(Attingal), meeting held on 04/03/2024 in the department website for information to public.

GIONAL

695104

Yours Faithfully,

Secretary

Regional Transport Authority , Attingal (Rural)

101703

DECISION OF THE

REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

ATTINGAL

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM(RURAL) Meeting held on 04-03-2024

Present: 1. Sri. GEROMIC GEORGE (I.A.S)

District Collector & Chairman

Regional Transport Authority

Attingal.

2. Smt. KIRAN NARAYANAN (I.P.S)

District Police Chief (Rural) & Member

Regional Transport Authority

Attingal.

3. Sri. K. JOSHY

Deputy Transport Commissioner (South Zone) & Member

Regional Transport Authority

Attingal.

ITEM NO.01 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Assistant Executive Engineer, LID & EW Sub-division, Vamanapuram block panchayathu were reported that a road portion about 100 meter at Parappil Bus Stand link road is virgin portion, PWD Authorities not issue road fitness certificate. Parappil Bus Stand link road narrow width and it is not motorable, granting of such permit through the road which is not suitable for vehicular traffic, would cause accidents and may danger to the lives of the common public. KSRTC also noted their objection regarding the above matter.

Perused, the judgment of Honorable High court Of Kerala in WP©No.4689/24 dated 07/02/2024. On the basis of the above judgment, a detailed enquiry has done and a report received from the Joint Regional Transport Officer, Nedumangadu which states that above road a route portion from Chellanjipalam to Parappil Bus Stand is not suitable for stage carriage operation.

Considering the above facts, the fresh permit application on the intra – district route Kusavoor – Kallara is hereby **rejected**.

ITEM NO.02 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that KSRTC strongly objected the granting of fresh permit through notified schemes application and stated that overlapping exceeding the permissible limit of 5% of the total route length. Total route length is 24.5 kms, in which a distance of 200 meter from Dalavapuram to Marakkadamukku, a distance of 200 meter from Varkala Maithanam to Chilakkoor road and another distance of 1 kms from Onnampalam to Varkala Maithanam overlaps Trivandrum - Varkala notified scheme. Total overlapping is 1.4 kms, which is 5.71 % of the total route length. Hence it is notification (P) 5© of the of clause violation the NO.42/Trans/2009/Tvpm dated 14/07/2009 and later modified as 8/Trans/2017 dated 23/03/2017.

Considering the above facts, the fresh permit application on the intra – district route Njekkadu – Varkala temple is **adjourned** for want of a detailed enquiry report from the field officer for to ascertain the actual overlapping portion on the route, and place the matter in the next RTA.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.03 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary, RTA has reported that this is an inter – district route and a fresh permit application. Total distance of the route is 19.06 kms, in which 13.02 kms lies in Trivandrum district and 6.4 kms from Oonninmoodu to Paravoor lies in Kollam district. A distance of 800 meter from Varkala Maithanam to Varkala Bus Stand overlapping the Trivandrum-Varkala notified scheme. Total route length 19.6 kms, in which overlapping is 800 meter, which is 4.19% of the total route length. And it is not objectionable as per the clause 5© of the notification GO (P) NO.42/Trans/2009/Tvpm dated 14/07/2009 and later modified as 8/Trans/2017 dated 23/03/2017. But concurrence is required from the RTA, Kollam since it is an inter – district route.

Considering the above facts, the fresh permit application on the interdistrict route Varkala temple – Paravoor is **adjourned** for want of concurrence from the Secretary, RTA, Kollam and place the matter in the next RTA.

ITEM NO.04 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this a fresh permit application on the intra – district route where is a virgin portion 800 meter from Parambil temple to Odayampally. Road fitness certificate is required from the PWD authorities.

A distance of 800 meter from Varkala Maithanam to Varkala Bus Stand overlapping the Trivandrum-Varkala notified scheme vide notification GO(P) NO.42/Trans/2009/Tvpm dated 14/07/2009 and later modified as 8/Trans/2017 dated 23/03/2017. Total route length is 22 kms, in which overlapping portion is 800 meter which is 3.63% of the total route length. Hence it is not objectionable as per clause 5 $\mathbb C$ of above notification.

Considering the above facts, the fresh permit application on the intra – district route Kappil H.S – Kappil H.S is **adjourned** for want road fitness certificate from concerned Authorities and place the matter in the next RTA.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.05 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the authorized signatory Sri. Santhosh kumar represented by the applicant. Perused the judgment in MVAA No.34/2010 of honorable STAT Ernakulum dated 31/05/2014. The Hon'ble State Transport Appellate Tribunal set aside the decision of RTA dated 21/10/2009 and remanded the matter for fresh consideration. The secretary RTA has reported that this is a multiple agenda for renewal of permit; transfer of permit (death) and for replacement of the vehicle. KSRTC only objected the renewal of permit application. It is an inter-district route permit and concurrence received from RTA Kollam. STU not yet applied for fresh permit on this route.

Total route length is 62 kms. A distance of 23 kms from Punalur to Chadayamangalam overlaps with Trivandrum-Kottayam via Ranni and Trivandrum – Thiruvalla via Kozhencherry schemes vide notification GOP No. 42/2009/Trans/Tvpm dated 14-07-2009 further modified as 8/2017 dated 23-03-2017. And a distance of 18 kms from Thattupalam to 16th mile overlaps the notified schemes Trivandrum – Kannur and Trivandrum – Palakkad vide notification number 42/2009/TRANS/TVPM dated 14-07-2009 further modified as 8/2017 dated 23-03-2017. A distance of 12 kms from Punalur to Anchal overlaps in Kayamkulam – Kulathupuzha scheme. Hence the route is objectionable and violate the clause 5 © of the above notification. Total overlapping portion is 41 kms which is 89 % of the total route lengthh. The major part of the route portion touches the two more intermediate points.

But on detailed examination the applications for renewal since 27/06/2002 is not found clear, hence directed to the Secretary RTA, to examine the file and findout whether the application for renewal were in submitted timely. As per the decision of RTA dated 10/10/2023, examined the file in detail and understood that the permit renewal applications were submitted in time (i.e. on 11/06/2007, 12/06/2012, 12/06/2017 and 13/06/2022). KSRTC strongly objected the grant of renewal permit application since it was pending for last 21 years and notified scheme violation. No vehicle operated by KSRTC on the route.

Considering the above facts, No vehicle operated by KSRTC on the enroute. The Secretary, RTA issue four month temporary permit u/s 87(1) d is allowed and also renewal of regular permit application is hereby adjourned. Hence transfer of permit (death) and replacement of vehicle is adjourned. And decide to obtain legal opinion from Government regarding this matter.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.06 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a multiple agenda for renewal of regular permit application and transfer of permit application (Death) to the succeeding person. This is a saved permit category, issued prior to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of regular permit application on the route Kallambalam – Puthiyakavu is **granted** and transfer of permit application (death) is **allowed** subject to the production of current records.

ITEM NO.07 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that the delay condonation of renewal permit application is accepted with medical certificate. This is a saved permit category, issued prior to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of regular permit application on the route Kappil H.S – Vamanapuram is **granted** subject to the production of current records.

ITEM NO.08 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that the delay condonation of renewal permit application is acceptable with medical certificate. This is a saved permit category, issued prior to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of regular permit application on the route Mamom temple - Varkala Railway Station is **granted** subject to the production of current records.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.09 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that a distance of 2.1 kms from Pazhayachanda to Varkala overlaps notification Varkala notified Trivandrum scheme vide NO.42/Trans/2009/Tvpm modified dated 14/07/2009 later and 08/Trans/2017 dated 23/03/2017. Total overlapping is 2.1 kms, which is 12.72% of the total route length(16.5 km). Hence it is objectionable and violation of clause 5© of the notification GO (P) NO.42/Trans/2009/Tvpm dated 14/07/2009 and later modified as 8/Trans/2017 dated 23/03/2017. This is not a saved permit category, issued after 14/07/2009 and NOC from financier not produced. Also it is found to be a delayed application, the reason for delay condonation is unsatisfactory.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of permit application on the route Chirayinkeezh – Varkala is hereby **rejected**.

ITEM NO.10 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a renewal of regular permit application. No STU application pending. No objection certificate from Financier is not produced.

Total Route length 67 kms and total overlapping is 29 kms which is A distance of 10. 5 kms from % of the total route length. Trivandrum Varkala, Thattupalam overlaps Moonnumukku to Trivandrum - Kannoor, and Trivandrum - Palakkad notified schemes vide under notification GO(P) No. 42/2009/Trans/Tvpm dated 14/07/2009, later modified as 08/2017/Trans dated 23/03/2017. Another distance of 3.5 kms from Chadayamangalam to Ayoor and 15 kms from Edamulakkal to Punalur overlaps the notified scheme, Trivandrum - Kottayam via Ranni, Trivandrum - Thiruvalla via Kozhencherry vide under notification GO(P) 14/07/2009. Later 42/2009/Trans/Tvpm dated No. 08/2017/Trans dated 23/03/2017. A distance of 12 kms in Punalur to Anchal overlaps in kayamkulam - Kulathupuzha scheme. A distance of 3 Kms from Ayoor to Vayakkal Pallimukku overlaps notified sckeme Trivandrum - Thekkady, Trivandrum- Kanoor and Trivandrum - palakkadu scheme vide under notification GO(P) No. 42/2009/Trans/Tvpm dated 14/07/2009, later modified as 08/2017/Trans dated 23/03/2017.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of permit application on the route Vamanapuram - Punalur is **adjourned** and the secretary RTA, issue four months temporary permit u/s 87(1) d, since no vehicle operated by KSRTC on the enroute.

Member -1

Chairman

ITEM NO.11 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that the delay condonation of renewal permit application is accepted with medical certificate. This is a saved permit category, issued prior to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending. No objectionable overlapping.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of regular permit application on the intra – district route Kilimanoor - Kallambalam is **granted** subject to the production of current records.

ITEM NO.12 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the applicant in person. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a renewal of regular permit application. No objectionable overlapping. This is not a saved permit category, issued after to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending. No objectionable overlapping. No objection certificate from Financier not produced.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of permit application on the route Cherunniyoor - Attingal is **adjourned** for want of no objection certificate from the financier, and Issue temporary permit for four months to the applicant for conducting service on the route.

ITEM NO.13 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that the delay condonation of renewal permit application is acceptable with medical certificate. This is a saved permit category, issued prior to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending. No objectionable overlapping.

The renewal of regular permit application on the intra – district route **Kochupalam Private Bus Stand – Pakalkuri Temple** was granted by the RTA dated 14/11/2019 in its item No.46. But the applicant not yet produced current records, hence the decision of RTA dated 14/11/2019 is hereby **revoked** as per the provision in section 172(3) of KMV Rules and replacement of vehicle with later model vehicle bearing registration number KL 10 Y 6465 is also hereby **rejected** due to the reason that the route bus has no regular permit and in off road (Non use intimation).

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.14 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that the delay condonation of renewal permit application is acceptable with medical certificate. This is a saved permit category, issued prior to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending. No objectionable overlapping.

The renewal of regular permit application on the intra – district route **Kottukunnam- Kilimanoor** is granted by the RTA dated 18/09/2021 Item No. 38. But the applicant not yet produced current records, hence the decision of RTA dated 18/09/2021 is hereby **revoked** as per the provision in section 172(3) of KMV Rules and replacement of vehicle with lesser model vehicle bearing registration number KL 13 B 1300 is hereby **rejected** due to the reason that route bus has no regular permit and in off road (Non use intimation). And also hereby **rejected** the request of permit holder for to extent the time to producing current records of vehicle.

ITEM NO.15 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this a renewal of regular permit application and replacement of vehicle. This is not a saved permit category, issued after to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending. No objectionable overlapping.

The renewal of regular permit application on the intra – district route **Akathumuri –Attingal** is granted by the RTA dated 05/04/2022 item No 24. But the applicant not yet produced current records, hence the decision of RTA dated 05/04/2022 is hereby **revoked** as per the provision in section 172(3) of KMV Rules and replacement of vehicle with later model vehicle bearing registration number KL 04 S 0313 is hereby **rejected** due to the reason that route bus has no regular permit and in off road (Non use intimation). The request of permit holder to remit the tax at non-transport rate is also **rejected**.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.16 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a renewal of regular permit application. This is a saved permit category, issued prior to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending. No objection certificate from the financier not produced.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of permit application on the route Parakkal – Varkala is **adjourned** for want of no objection certificate from the financier and Issue temporary permit for four months to the applicant for conducting service on the route.

ITEM NO.17 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a renewal of regular permit application. This is a saved permit category, issued prior to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending. Route bus KL 16 D 4114 is in Form G and a civil case pending before the Hon'ble Munsiff Court, Varkala in OS NO.315/2011.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of permit application on the route Varkala – Venjaramoodu is **adjourned** for want of present status of the case in OS NO.315/2011 in the Hon'ble Munsiff Court, Varkala and Issue temporary permit for four months to the permit holder in respect of vehicle KL 16 D 4114 or suitable vehicle for conducting service on the route.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.18 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a renewal of regular permit application. This is a saved permit category, issued prior to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending. No Form G (Non use intimation) submitted. No renewal fees remitted by the permit holder due to the reason that the records of the vehicle are not current and whereabouts of the vehicle is not known.

A distance of 3 kms from Alamcode to Attingal overlaps the notified scheme Trivandrum – Varkala vide notification No G.O (P) No 42/Trans/2009 dated 14/07/2009 and later modified as 08/2017 and also a distance of 1 kms from Kallambalam to Thattupalam overlaps the notified schemes Trivandrum – kannoor and Trivandrum – Palakkad vide above notification. Another distance of 5 kms from Thattathumala to Kilimanoor M C Road overlaps in the Trivandrum – Kottayam via Ranni. Total overlapping is 9 kms which 25 % of the total route length. Hence it is objectionable as per the clause 5© of Notification G.O (P) No 42/Trans/2009 dated 14/07/2009 and later modified as 08/2017. Regular permit expired on 09/02/2015, route bus has no valid regular permit, dely condonation application submitted.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of permit application on intra – district route Kallambalam - Attingal is hereby **rejected**.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.19 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a renewal of regular permit application and replacement of vehicle. This is not a saved permit category, issued after to 14/07/2009. No STU application pending.

A route portion from 16th Mile to Korani 2.5 kms, and a distance of 3.2 Kms from Attingal to Alamcode Overlaps Trivandrum – Varkala Scheme. And another distance of 1 kms from Valiyakada to Chirayinkeezh overlaps Trivandrum – Chirayinkeezh Scheme, both vide under notification G.O(P) No.42/Trans/2009 dated 14/07/2009 and later modified as 8/2017 dated 23/03/2017. Here the total route length is 43 kms and scheme overlapping distance vide under notification G.O (P) No.42/Trans/2009 dated 14/07/2009 and later modified as 8/2017 dated 23/03/2017, is 6.7 Kms which is 15% of total route length which objectionable as per above notification.

Considering the above facts, the renewal of regular permit application on the intra – district route **Vengode – Kallara** is hereby **rejected** and replacement of vehicle with later model vehicle bearing registration number KL 16 A 6757 is also **rejected** due to the reason that the route bus has no regular permit and in off road (Non use intimation).

ITEM NO.20 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that renewal of regular permit application was granted by the RTA dated 05/04/2022 in its item No Suplementary-10. But the grantee faild to produced current records.

Considering the above facts, the granted renewal permit application on the route Pulimoodu Junction - Nilamel is hereby **revoked** as per the provision in section 172(3) of KMV Rules.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.21

Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that renewal of regular permit application was granted by the RTA dated 05/04/2022 in its item No Supplementary -10. But the grantee faild to produced current records.

Considering the above facts, the granted renewal permit application on the route Kallara – Muthuvila is hereby **revocked** as per the provision in section 172(3) of KMV Rules.

ITEM NO.22
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

The permit holder is absent. The Secretary RTA has reported that renewal of regular permit application was granted by the RTA dated 18/09/2021 in its item No.26. But the grantee faild to produce current records.

Considering the above facts, the granted renewal permit application on the route Kadakkavoor – Pozhikkara is hereby **revocked** as per the provision in section 172(3) of KMV Rules.

ITEM NO.23
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented both the applicants. The application for transfer of permit is genuine and having no objection. Hence granted.

ITEM NO.24
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented both the applicants. The application for transfer of permit is genuine and having no objection. Hence granted.

ITEM NO.25
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented both the applicants. The application for transfer of permit is genuine and having no objection. Hence **granted.**

ITEM NO.26
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented both the applicants. The application for transfer of permit is genuine and having no objection. Hence

granted.

Member Member

ITEM NO.27

Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented both the applicants. The application for transfer of permit is genuine and having no objection. Hence granted.

ITEM NO.28
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented both the applicants. The application for transfer of permit is genuine and having no objection. Hence granted.

ITEM NO.29
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented both the applicants. The application for transfer of permit is genuine and having no objection. Hence granted.

ITEM NO.30
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The transfer of permit application (death) is genuine and having no objection. Hence the transfer of permit (death) application is **allowed.**

ITEM NO.31
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented both the applicants. The application for transfer of permit is genuine and having no objection. Hence granted.

ITEM NO.32
Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented both the applicants. The application for transfer of permit is genuine and having no objection. Hence granted.

ITEM NO.33

Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Surrender of permit is hereby accepted.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.34

Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സ് ഉടമസ്ഥരുടെ അപേക്ഷയിൽ അഡ്വക്കേറ്റ് ഒ.ഡി. ശിവദാസ് ഹാജ് ഈ വിഷയത്തിൽ അനേകം എതിർപ്പുകൾ വന്നിരുന്നു. എന്നാൽ പാരിപ്പുള്ളി പര പൂർ റൂട്ടുകളിൽ നിന്ന് വരുന്ന സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകൾ വർക്കല ബസ് സ്റ്റാന്റ് 2006 -ൽ വരുന്ന പൂർ മൂമ്പ് വർക്കല മൈതാനം വരെ സർവ്വീസ് നടത്തിയിരുന്നു. എന്നാൽ 2006 -ൽ പരിന്റ മൂമ്പ് വർക്കല മൈതാനം വരെ സർവ്വീസ് നടത്തിയിരുന്നു. എന്നാൽ 2006 -ൽ പൂർക്കല ബസ് സ്റ്റാന്റ് നിലവിൽ വന്നതിനു ശേഷം പാരിപ്പുള്ളി പരവൂർ റൂട്ടിൽ നിന്നു വരുന്ന ബസ്സുകൾക്ക് ബഹു. കോടതി വിധിയുടെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ വാഹന പരിശോധനാ പരുന്ന ബസ്സുകൾക്ക് ബഹു. പരവൂർ പാരിപ്പുള്ളി റൂട്ടിൽ നിന്നു വരുന്ന സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകളിലെ യാത്രക്കാർക്ക് മൈതാനം വരെ പോകാൻ അനുവദിച്ചാൽ 800 മീറ്റർ സ്കീമിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടും എന്നാണ് കെ.എസ്.ആർ.ടി.സി. പറയുന്നത്. എന്നാൽ എല്ലാ സർക്കാർ സ്ഥാപന അൾ (കോടതി , ആശുപത്രികൾ, മഠങ്ങൾ, ക്ഷേത്രങ്ങൾ, വിദ്യാഭ്യാസ സ്ഥാപനങ്ങൾ) സ്ഥിതി ചെയ്യുന്നത് വർക്കല മൈതാനത്താണ്.

ആയതിനാൽ ടി വിഷയത്തിൽ തീരുമാനം എടുക്കുന്നതിന് കെ.എസ്.ആർ.ടി.സി.യെ കൂടെ ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തണമെന്ന് പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുണ്ട്. അതിനാൽ ടി വിഷയത്തിൽ യാത്രക്കാർക്ക് മുൻഗണന നൽകി പാരിപ്പള്ളി പരവൂർ റൂട്ടിൽ നിന്നു വരുന്ന സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകൾക്ക് വർക്കല മൈതാനം വരെ പോകാൻ അനുമതി നൽകുവാൻ സാധിക്കുമോ എന്ന് പരിശോധിക്കുന്നതിനായി വർക്കല മൈതാനം മുതൽ – വർക്കല പ്രൈവറ്റ് ബസ്സ് സ്റ്റാന്റ് വരെയുള്ള 800 മീറ്റർ ദൂരം നോട്ടിഫൈഡ് റൂട്ടിൽ വരുന്നതാണോ എന്ന് പരിശോധിച്ച് റിപ്പോർട്ട് നൽകുവാൻ സെക്രട്ടറി ആർ.റ്റി.എ –യെ ചുമതലപ്പെടുത്തുന്നു. ടി വിഷയത്തിൽ കെഎസ്ആർടിസിയുടെ ഒബ്ജക്ഷൻ രേഖാമൂലം സമർപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനും മേൽ 800 മീറ്റർ ദൂരം നോട്ടിഫൈഡ് റൂട്ടിൽ ആണെങ്കിൽ ആയതിന്റെ രേഖകൾ സഹിതം ഒബ്ജക്ഷൻ സമർപ്പിക്കുവാനും നിർദ്ദേശിക്കുന്നു. ആയത് ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് ടി വിഷയം വരുന്ന ആർ.ടി.എ ബോർഡിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

ITEM NO.35 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

കർക്കിടക വാവുബലി ദിവസം 17/07/2023 –ൽ വർക്കല പാപനാശത്തേക്ക് പെർമിറ്റ് ഇല്ലാതെ സർവ്വീസ് നടത്തിയ സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകൾക്ക് എൻഫോഴ്സ്മെന്റ് ആർ.ടി.ഒ. ഇ -ചെ ലാൻ വഴി പിഴ ചുമത്തിയിരുന്നു. ആയതിനു എതിരെ ഏതാനും സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുടമകൾ വാവുബലി കർക്കിടക 2023-ലെ നിവേദനത്തിൽ സമർപ്പിച്ച വർഷങ്ങളിലെപ്പോലെ സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകളുടെ ഉടമകൾ ഉൾപ്പെടെയുള്ളവരുമായി റൂട്ട് ക്രമീകരണത്തിന് യോഗം വിളിച്ചിട്ടില്ലായെന്നും പ്രത്യേക നിർദ്ദേശങ്ങൾ ഒന്നും തന്നെ നൽകിയിരുന്നില്ലാ എന്നും പ്രതിപാദിക്കുന്നു. ഏത് റൂട്ട് വഴി സർവ്വീസ് നടത്തണമെന്ന ഭാഗത്ത് അധികൃതരുടെ ലഭിക്കാത്തതിനാലാണ് കൃത്യമായ നിർദ്ദേശം കാരണമായതെന്നും പറയുന്നു. വൈലേഷന് ആരോപിക്കുന്നതുപോലെ റൂട്ട് വിഷയങ്ങൾ പരിശോധിച്ചതിൽ മേൽ ദിവസം കർക്കിടക വാവുബലിയുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട് ^{സർ}വ്വീസ് നടത്തിയ സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകൾക്ക് ചുമത്തിയ പിഴ ഒഴിവാക്കുന്നു.

Chairman

Member -1

ITEM NO.36

Pecision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകൾക്ക് ആറ്റിങ്ങൽ നിന്നും മണനാക്ക് വഴി കടയ്ക്കാവൂരിലേയ്ക്ക് _{സ്വമ}യം _അനുവദിച്ചത് കിലോമീറ്ററ് കണക്കാക്കിയല്ലാ എന്ന പരാതിയിൽ ഫീൽഡ് ഓഫീ സ്വ^{മയം} _അന്വേഷണ റിപ്പോർട്ടിൻ പ്രകാരം ചില സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകൾക്ക് സമയം കൂടുതലും സ്റ^{റ്റെടെ} അ്വേഷണ റിപ്പോർട്ടിൻ പ്രകാരം ചില സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകൾക്ക് സമയം കൂടുതലും പര്റ്റ് ചിലതിന് സമയം കുറവും അനുവദിച്ച് നൽകിയതായി പറയുന്നു. എന്നാൽ ഈ അനുവ മറ്റ് സ്വമയങ്ങൾ പുന ക്രമീകരിച്ച് നൽകിയാൽ ടി റൂട്ടിലെ മറ്റ് സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകളെയും രിച്ച് സ്വമയങ്ങൾ. പുന ക്രമീകരിച്ച് നൽകിയാൽ ടി റൂട്ടിലെ മറ്റ് സ്വകാര്യ ബസ്സുകളെയും രിച്ച് സ്വമയങ്ങൾ. അയതിനാൽ ഫീൽഡ് ഓഫീസറുടെ റിപ്പോർട്ട് പ്രകാരം തൽസ്ഥിതി ബ്ലാധിക്കുന്നതാണ്. ടി വിഷയത്തിൽ ഉചിതമായ തീരുമാനം എടുക്കുന്നതിന് എല്ലാ സെക്ടതുടരേണ്ടതാണ്. ടി വിഷയത്തിൽ ഉചിതമായ തീരുമാനം എടുക്കുന്നതിന് എല്ലാ സെക്ടരൂടരേണ്ടതാണ്.

SUPPLIMENTARY ITEM NO -01 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a fresh permit application on the interdistrict route Parippally – Kilimanoor. No objectionable overlapping. A distance of 1.08 kms from Kizhakkanela to Parippally lies in Kollam district.

Considering the above facts, the fresh permit application on the interdistrict route Parippally - Kilimanoor is **adjourned** for want of concurrence from the Seceretary,RTA, Kollam and place the matter in the next RTA

SUPPLIMENTARY ITEM NO -02 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a fresh permit application on the inter – district route Pallickkal - Varkala. No objectionable overlapping. A distance of 4 kms from Kizhakkanela to Mukkada lies in Kollam district.

A distance of 800 meter from parippally to Mukkada overlaps the notified scheme Trivandrum – Palakkadu and Trivandrum – Kannur. Vide under notification GO (P) No. 42/Trans dated 14/07/2009 further modified as 8/2017 dated 23/03/2017. Total route length of the route is 19.4 Kms and scheme overlapping distance is 800 meters (.8 Kms) which is below 5% of the total route length hence not objectionable as per clause 5© of the above notification.

Considering the above facts, the fresh permit application on the interdistrict route Pallickal - Varkala is **adjourned** for want of concurrence from the Secretary, RTA, Kollam and place the matter in the next RTA.

Chairman

Member -1

SUPPLIMENTARY ITEM NO -03 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal [Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this is a fresh permit application on the intradistrict route Kattuputhussery - Kilimanoor. No objectionable overlapping.

Considering the above facts, this fresh permit application on the intra – district route Kattuputhussery – Kilimanoor is hereby **granted** subject to the settlement of timings.

SUPPLIMENTARY ITEM NO -04 Decision of the Regional Transport Authority, Attingal[Rural] Dated 04.03.2024

Heard, the learned counsel represented the applicant. The Secretary RTA has reported that this a fresh permit application on the intra – district route Thengumcode – Pazhakutty where virgin portion 2 kms from Parappil to Chellanjipalam. Road fitness certificate required from the PWD authorities.

A distance of 2 kms from Puthenpalam to Pazhakutty overlaps Trivandrum – Schenkottai scheme. No objectionable overlapping.

Considering the above facts, the fresh permit application on the intra – district route Thengumcode – Pazhakutty is **adjourned** for want road fitness certificate from concerned Authorities and place the matter in the next RTA.

Chairman

Member -1

Member-2

Present:

1. Sri.Dr.GEROMIC GEORGE (I.A.S)

District Collector & Chairman

Regional Transport Authority

Attingal.

District Collector Thiruvananthapuram.

STRICT POLICE CHIEF

2. Smt.KIRAN NARAYANAN (I.P.S)

District Police Chief (Rural) & Member Regional Transport Authority Attingal.

3. Sri.K. JOSHY

Deputy Transport Commissioner (South Zone) & Member Regional Transport Authority

Attingal.

Deputy Transport Commissioner (South Zone) & Member Deputy Transport Deputy Transport Commissioner (South Zone) & Member Deputy Transport Deputy

Deputy Transport Commissioner South Zone, Thiruvananthapuram PEN: 428685