J1/7101/2021/P

Regional Transport Office, Palakkad, Dt. 20.12.2021

From

The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad.

To

The Transport commissioner Transport commissionerate Thiruvananthapuram.

Sir,

The Recent of

Sub: Mvs.Deptt-Publication of Decisions of RTA, Palakkad dt.08.11.2021 in the official Website of MVD-Request forwarding-Reg.

Ref: Meeting of Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad held on 08.11.2021.

.....

I am forwarding herewith the soft copy of the **Decision** of the meeting of Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad held on **08.11.2021** so as to publish the same in the website of Motor Vehicles Department (<u>www.mvd.kerala.gov.in</u>) at the earliest.



a ha a bha a tha an an Againn an Againn an Arainn a

Yours faithfully,

Secretary, RTA, Palakkad

Encl: as alloyé.

Copy to SSG cell, Transport commissionerate, Thiruvananthapuram for information and necessary action

DECISIONS OF REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, PALAKKAD ON THE MEETING HELD ON 08.11.2021

Chairman	:	Ms. Mrunmai Joshi IAS, District Collector, Palakkad
Member	:	Sri. R Viswanadh IPS, District Police Chief, Palakkad.
Member	:	Sri.A.K.Sasikumar, Deputy Transport Commissioner, Central Zone-I, Thrissur

Item No.01

Heard. Reconsidered the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Chemmanampathy-Palakkad Stadium Bus Stand with the modified set of timing submitted on 01.03.2021. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 29.11.2012 and the decision was adjourned to ascertain the distance of overlapping with the notified route and also to report the exact route length, after allegations. The fresh report submitted by the enquiry officer reveals that the total route length is 90 km and the distance of overlapping with the notified route in this proposal is 2.8 km. On perusal of records, it is revealed that no fresh road fitness certificate for the virgin portions; Vembra to Vandithavalam ground (4.6 Km) and Chulliparukmedu to Ambattpalayam (5.5 Km) are seen obtained . Requirement of fare stages (with proposed places) on all the three virgin portions (with proposed places) are not seen mentioned in the route enquiry report. Hence, *decision on the* application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned with direction to Secretary RTA to obtain the road fitness certificate from the concerned road authority and also to report the necessity of fare stages with suggested places and to place the application before this authority in the next meeting.

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam dated 02.06.2018 in MVAA No. 162/2018.
- 2. Heard the applicant and counsels representing the objectors. This is to reconsider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Malakkulam-Palakkad. reported total route length is 93 Km The and report of overlapping with notified route is 3.8 Km. The objectors alleged that the proposed focus of operation is mainly on the sector Palakkad-Nemmara. The distant remote places like Malakkulam and Mangalam Dam are included with intention to circumvent the stipulations in Clause 5(C) of Notification No. B1/13/2020/Tran dated 14.09.2020 with regard to Notified routes. On perusal of records and proposed timings, it is revealed that the objection To the above places (Malakkulam and Mangalam Dam) is genuine. Hence, applicant is directed to one each trips are proposed. submit modified time-schedule by providing additional а reasonable number of trips to the above places. On receipt of the modified time-schedule, Secretary shall conduct an enquiry application before this authority for and place the reconsideration. Hence, decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.

- 1. Perused the judgments of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala[1. Dated 07.12.2020 in WP(C) No. 25832 of 2020, 2. Dated 24.03.2021 in WP(C)No.5371 of 2021(V), 3. Dated 07.04.2021 in WP(C) No. 5859 of 2021(F)]
- 2. Heard the counsels representing the applicants and all the petitioners in WP(C) No. 5859 of 2021(F) and all the concerned and reconsidered the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the inter-district route Pallipuram-Desamangalam after obtaining prior concurrence from RTA, Thrissur in compliance with the directions of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgments. Vehement objections are received from enroute operators alleging the following:
 - a. The length of the notified route mentioned in the agenda is not correct.
 - b. There is a virgin portion of 2.6 Km from Nhangattiri Temple to Thirumittakkode and this portion of the road has no road fitness certificate from the concerned road authority.
 - c. The focus of operation is between Pattambi and Arangottukara only. To the other terminus like Desamangalam, Karukaputhur

and Pallippuram through different via no sufficient trips are provided, with intention to circumvent the stipulations in Clause 5(C) of Notification No. B1/13/2020/Tran dated 14.09.2020 with regard to Notified routes.

Hence, Secretary is directed to conduct specific enquiry on the allegation (a) and (b) above. From the records perused, it is revealed that the allegation (c) above is worth considering. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified time-schedule with additional reasonable number of trips to the above place Desamangalam, and Pallipuram. On receipt of the modified time-Karukaputhur schedule, Secretary shall conduct a specific enquiry and submit a detailed report and place the application for reconsideration. For compliance of the above directions, decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.

- 1. Perused the judgments of Hon,ble High Court of Kerala[1. Dated 15.09.2020 in WP(C) No.18970 of 2020(U) , 2. Dated 09.04.2021 in WP(C) No.9520 of 2021(L) & 3. Dated 14.05.2021 in WP(C) No. 10256 of 2021(F)]
- 2. Heard the counsels representing the applicant and objectors and reconsidered the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Para-Vandithavalam in compliance with the directions of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgments. Considered the objections of petitioner in the judgment dated 09.04.2021 in WP(C) No. 9520 of 2021(L) as directed by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala. The petitioners' objections/allegations are about the proposed timings which clashes with the timings of petitioners' stage carriages & about the alleged excessive overlapping with the notified routes. It is also alleged that the applicant above is having history of trafficking of permits. Secretary is directed to conduct a specific enquiry on the allegations about the excessive overlapping with notified and on trafficking of permits by the applicant and place the application before this authority in the next meeting. For compliance of the above directions, decision on the application for fresh stage carriage permit is adjourned.

Heard the counsel appearing for the applicant and all the concerned including the objectors. This is an application for fresh regular on the intra-district stage carriage permit route Nemmara-Kuzhalmannam-Chandapura. An en-route operator alleged that the intermediate points through which the vehicle is intended to operate from Kuzhalmannam NH Junction to Kuzhalmannam Chandappura is not mentioned in the agenda. The clarification regarding the intermediate points through which he intends to operate between Kuzhalmannam NH Junctiom and Kuzhalmannam Chandappura junction is mentioned neither in application report of the nor in the the enguiry officer. Hence, decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned with direction to the applicant to submit a modified application clarifying the above points. On receipt of modified application, secretary RTA shall conduct a detailed enquiry and place the matter before this authority in the next meeting of RTA along with the report for reconsideration of the application.

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 16.07.2021 in WP(C) No.11470 of 2021.
- 2. Heard the counsel representing the applicant and objectors This is an application for fresh regular including the KSRTC. carriage permit the intra-district route stage on The length of the proposed route is Peringottukurissi-Palakkad. 55.4 Km and distance of the overlapping with notified route is 2.6 Km and no virgin portion, as per the enquiry report of the field officer. The objection filed by KSRTC is also considered as as directed by Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgment. KSRTC alleged that the distance of overlapping with notified route is not correct and requested specific enquiry. Hence, decision on the application for fresh regular permit is adjourned with direction to Secretary to conduct a specific enquiry on the above allegation filed by KSRTC and place the application in the ensuing meeting of this authority after obtaining detailed report.

Heard.Considered the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Melemulli-Jellippara. There is no virgin portion or overlapping with the notified route in the proposal. It is specifically reported by the enquiry officer that there is a clear requirement for this permit for the conveyance of students and travelling public on the applied route which passes through tribal areas. Hence, <u>fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted</u> subject to remittance of application fee, settlement of timings and production of current records of suitable stage carriage within 30 days.

Item No.8

Heard the counsels representing the permit holder and the objector. Considered the recommendation of RTA, Malappuram to take appropriate action on the permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 U 8692 consequent to the reported dangerous driving at Kondotty by running over the divider. To proceed further in this matter, the secretary RTA shall obtain report from Regional Transport Officer, Malappuram specifying whether any conditions mentioned in Section 72 or 84 of Motor Vehicles Act 1988 is violated in this case. Hence, <u>decision on</u> <u>the matter is adjourned.</u>

Item No.9

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit on the interdistrict route Malampuzha dam-Kozhikkode as LSOS for curtailing the route portion from Palakkad to Malampuzha Dam so as to limit the length of the total route not more than 140 Km in compliance with the direction of this authority as per the decision dated 17.02.2021 in item No.05 to endorse the granted renewal of permit. As per the enquiry report, the route length after proposed variation is 137 Km. Hence, <u>variation of permit is granted</u> by retaining the all the existing timings and trips between Palakkad and Kozhikkode, subject to remittance of fee for variation of permit by the applicant.

The applicant is absent. Hence, the decision on the <u>application for</u> <u>variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 AE 1738 on the</u> <u>route Palakkad-Palakkad(Circular) is adjourned</u> with direction to Secretary RTA to place the application in the next meeting of RTA after issuing due to notice to the applicant.

Item No.11

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 11.05.2021 in WP(C) no 10240 of 2021(D)
- 2. Heard and counsel representing the applicant and objectors and reconsidered the application for variation of permit on the intradistrict route Govindapuram-Chittur Civil Station . The proposed variation contains extension of route from Chittur Hospital Junction-Kozhinjampara (11km)and Chulliyarmedu to Chullivar Dam(1.2 km). There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route as per the report submitted by the enquiry officer. It is also revealed from the report that the proposed extension will increase the travelling facility of the public and students. The Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgment dated 11.05.2021 directs to take up and pass appropriate orders on the application as expeditiously as possible. In compliance with the above direction of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala, variation of permit is granted, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No.12

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit on the interdistrict route Thiruvilwamala-Ottappalam. Proposed variation includes extensions(1) Nochur to Athipotta & (2) Thiruvilwamala 2 to with curtailment of trips between Anappara(3.5 Km) Nochur and Tholanur. Secretary is directed to report the comparative advantages of the proposed extensions over the proposed curtailment of trips and district in which proposed extensions fall. For compliance of the above directions, decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.

Heard, considered the application for variation of permit on the route Palakkad-Karakkad. The permit holder filed this application for variation of permit consequent to the weakening of Varani Bridge connecting Kadukkamkunnam and Karakkad via Akkarakkadu and Kanhirakkadavu which is remote areas having less travelling facilities. As per the letter dated 18.01.2020, Assistant Engineer, Malampuzha Grama panchayat has informed this authority about the dangerous condition of the above bridge and intimated the traffic prohibition imposed. It is also suggested an alternative route for traversing this stage carriage through Kadukkamkunnam, Manthakkad, Malampuzha, SN Nagar and Mankkalkkad to reach Kunuppully instead of operation through the above bridge.

While this application is considered, a mass representation is received from the public of the proposed curtailing route Kadukkamkunnam-Karakkad via Akkarakkad-Kanhirakadavu against allowing this variation which will permanently curtail the existing travelling facility of the public. The President of Malampuzha Grama Panchayat also submitted that the traffic through this bridge will be restored shortly after completing maintenance work. The route enquiry report also reveals that the proposed curtailment will adversely affect the travelling facility of the public. Under the above circumstances, the decision on the application or variation of permit is adjourned to ascertain and report whether the above bridge under repair is opened for stage carriage operation after completing maintenance work. Along with the report, the application shall be placed before this authority in the next meeting of RTA.

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit on the interdistrict route Chittur-Kadampuzha. The extension sought-for portion Kadampuzha to Thevarchola Mahadeva Temple (3.8 km) falls within the jurisdiction of RTA, Malappuram. Hence, the <u>decision on the</u> <u>application for variation of permit is adjourned</u> with the following directions to Secretary.

- To obtain concurrence for variation of permit with the details of overlapping with notified routes in this proposal from RTA, Malappuram.
- 2. To verify the feasibility of stops proposed by the applicant to operate as LSOS and also to verify whether the set of timings proposed are in consonance with the speed restrictions as per the existing decision of STA Kerala in this regard.
- **3.** To ascertain and report the violation of clause 19 of Notification no B1/13/2016/Tran dated 14.09.2020 in this case.

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 17.09.2021 in WP(C) no 16801 of 2021.
- 2. Heard the counsels representing the applicant and the objectors. As per the direction of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala, considered the application for variation of permit operating on the route Nedumpura-Pattambi. From the timings proposed, it is revealed that the sought for variation includes (1) change of halting place from Nedumpura to Cheruthurithy School (2)One each additional trip to Vallapuzha gate and Pallikkal School.
- 3. The afternoon trip to Pavukonam and Nedumpura are seen shuffled with change in timing (inter change). The applicant has mentioned nothing about this other than the change of halting place in the variation application. The timings proposed and variation sought for does not match with each other. Hence, decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned for offering an opportunity to the applicant to re-submit the modified application as above. On receipt of proper application, secretary shall conduct a fresh enquiry and along with this report, place the matter before this authority in the next meeting.

I.Heard, the counsel representing the applicant and the objectors. Considered the application for variation of permit on the interdistrict route Ottappalam-Edathanattukkara. On perusal of records, it is revealed that the report of the enquiry officer is not specific on the following aspects.

- 1. It is not clear whether the portion of the route through Hospital Junction from Melattur to Chemmaniyode road is a part of the existing route.
- 2. Whether at present all the trips connecting Melattur and Chemmaniyode road are through New Bridge only.
- 3. The change in total route length and daily mileage after the proposed variation.
- 4. Virgin portion in the variation sought portion.
- 5. The district in which the sought for variation falls.

The secretary shall conduct a detailed enquiry on the above points and submit a specific report and place the application before RTA in the next meeting. Hence, decision on the application for <u>variation of</u> <u>permit is adjourned</u>.

II. Heard, considered the application for renewal of permit on the inter-district route Ottappalam-Edathanattukara. <u>Renewal of permit is</u> <u>granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit and variation of permit (for curtailing the route portion from Pattambi to Guruvayur, so as to restrict the route length not more than 140 Km.)on the interdistrict route Anakatty-Guruvayur as LSOS. The length of the existing route is 146.5 Km. The proposed timings are not matching with variation of permit applied. Hence <u>decision on both the applications</u> <u>for variation variation of permit and renewal of permit are adjourned</u> by offering an opportunity to submit modified application matching with the proposed timings. In the event when the modified application is submitted, secretary shall obtain route enquiry report and place the matter before this authority for reconsideration.

Item No.18

Heard, the counsels representing the applicant and objectors, reconsidered the application for renewal of permit on the inter district route Anakkara-Karakode along with the application for variation of permit filed for curtailing the route portion from Edakkara to Karakode and Thrithala to Anakkara so as to restrict the route length not to exceed 140 km as per the stipulations in notification No B1/13/2016/Tran dated14.09.2020.*By allowing requested curtailment*, subject to settlement of timings, *renewal of permit is granted on the varied inter-district route* Thrithala-Edakkara(133 km) subject to production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of motor vehicle tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.19

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Thachanady-Guruvayur. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Shornur-Paakkad. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt. dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.21

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Ottappalam-Palakkad. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt. dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.22

Heard the counsel representing Smt. Rahiyanath. This is an application for renewal of permit on the inter-district route Anakkara-Keezhattur filed on 13.07.2021. On perusal of records, it is seen that the applicant is not the permit holder, but the claimed possessor of the vehicle and wife of the proposed transferee Sri.Mohamed Musthafa in whose favour transfer of permit was allowed as per the decision of this authority dated 17.02.2021 in item No.47 in the agenda. The allowed transfer of permit is yet to be endorsed on the permit (though the certificate of registration is transferred in respect of stage carriage KL57-0639) since Sri. Muhammed Musthafa is reported as expired on 11.06.2021. The vehicle covered by LSOS permit is also attained 15 years of age on 17.08.2021. For the *reasons* above, the application for renewal of permit filed is found as improper and hence rejected.

- Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 08.03.2021 in WP(C) No.4110/2021(K).
- 2. Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the inter-district route Meenakshipuram-Pullazhi. <u>Renewal of permit</u> <u>is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt. dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Kololamba-Pattambi. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt. dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.25

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Kumbidi-Padapparambu. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.26

Heard, this is an application for renewal of LSOS stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Nemmara-Kozhikkode having route length 167 Km. As per clause 4 of Notification No.B1/13/2016/Tran dated 14.09.2020 of Govt. of Kerala, the route length of ordinary service shall not exceed 140 Km. Hence, decision on <u>the application</u> <u>for renewal of permit is adjourned</u>, with direction to the permit holder to file suitable application for variation of permit for curtailing the route portion in excess of 140 Km.

Item No.27

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route.Peringottukurissi-Palakkad-Thrissur <u>Renewal of permit</u> <u>is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Heard the counsel representing the claimed the possessor(wife of the deceased permit holder) consequent to the death of the permit holder on 11.06.2021. The application for renewal of permit on the inter district route Alanellur-Alanellur filed on 23.11.2020 is considered and <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.29

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route. Anakkara-Alipparambu <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.30

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Mangalamkunnu-Malappuram. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.31

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Mannarkkad-Thrissur <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.32

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Palakkad-Thrissur <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Pattambi-Ottapalam <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.34

Heard, perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 17.03.2021 in WP(C)No. 13747 of 2019(P). These are applications for renewal of permit, replacement of vehicle and temporary permit to operate on the inter-district route Govindapuram-Guruvayur as LSOS, regular permit of which expired 06.04.2017. Application for renewal of permit is filed on 17.02.2017. The vehicle covered by the permit(KL 11 R 5851) had attained 15 years of age set for LSOS vehicles as on 16.03.2018. From the records, it is revealed that the applicant had not taken any sincere fruitful efforts to make the permit operational for the conveyance of travelling public after the expiry of permit by substituting a suitable stage carriage so far. The incoming vehicle KL 05 Q 2777 proposed in the replacement application filed 25.09.2021 is also seen attained 15 years of age and unsuitable for stage carriage operation as LSOS. For these reasons, the application for renewal of permit and replacement of vehicle are rejected.

The judgment above of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala directs to take up the application for temporary permit filed by the applicant and to consider the same to take a decision as expeditiously as possible. The enquiry conducted on the application for temporary permit reveals that the above route is well served and no urgent temporary need exists at present. The records also reveals that there is an objectionable overlapping of 34 Km from Thrissur to Mangalam bridge on the notified route. Hence, <u>application for temporary permit is</u> <u>rejected</u>.

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Meenakshipuram-Permit(permit valid up to 08.12.2021) <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.36

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 17.09.2021 in WP(C)No.4190/2021(W.
- 2. Heard, this is a belated application dated 29.01.2021 for renewal of stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Govindapuram-Thrissur (as LSOS) which expired on 13.01.2021. Perused the petition to condone delay in submitting the application for renewal of permit due to Covid-19 Pandemic. Delay is condoned. Also since The Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, New Delhi has advised to treat the validity of documents related to Motor Vehicle Act 1988 and Central Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 which expired since 01.02.2020, as valid till 31.03.2021 as per letter No. RT-11036/35/2020-MVL dated 27.12.2020 and in compliance with the directions of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgment, renewal of permit is granted, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.37

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Palakkad-Thrissur. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.38.

Applicant is absent. Hence, <u>decision on the application for renewal</u> <u>of permit is adjourned</u>. Secretary shall place the application in the next meeting of this authority after issuing due notice to the applicant.

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Thrissur-Pattambi. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.40

Heard, this is a belated application dated 10.02.2021 for renewal of stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Palakkad-Kozhikkode (as LSOS) which expired on 19.01.2021. Perused the petition to condone delay in submitting the application for renewal of permit due to Covid-19 Pandemic. <u>Delay is condoned</u>. Also since The Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, New Delhi has advised to treat the validity of documents related to Motor Vehicle Act 1988 and Central Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 which expired since 01.02.2020, as valid till 31.03.2021 as per letter No. RT-11036/35/2020-MVL dated 27.12.2020 renewal of permit is granted, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt. dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.41

Heard, this is an application for renewal of stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Olippara-Thrissur (permit valid up to 03.06.2021). <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.42

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Thriur-Malampuzha. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 01.03.2021 in WP(C) No.4193 of 2021(Y).
- 2. Heard, this is a belated application dated 08.06.2021 for renewal inter-district of permit on the route Guruvavur-Kozhinjampara(permit valid up to 07.06.2020). Since, The Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, New Delhi has advised to treat the validity of documents related to Motor Vehicle Act 1988 and Rules, Central Motor Vehicles 1989 which expired since 01.02.2020, as valid till 30.06.2021 as per letter No. RT-11036/35/2020-MVL dated 26.03.2021, and also in compliance with the direction of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgment, renewal of permit is granted, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt. dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.44

Heard, this is a belated application dated 25.06.2021 for renewal of permit on the inter-district route Palakkad-Karuvarakkundu (permit valid up to 21.06.2021). Since, The Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, New Delhi has advised to treat the validity of documents related to Motor Vehicle Act 1988 and Central Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 which expired since 01.02.2020, as valid till 30.06.2021 as per letter No. RT-11036/35/2020-MVL dated 26.03.2021, <u>renewal of permit</u> <u>is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.45

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Lakkidi-Kottakkal. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Heard, this is a belated application dated 20.09.2021 for renewal of permit on the inter-district route Thrissur-Gopalapuram (permit valid up to 30.06.2021). Since, The Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, New Delhi has advised to treat the validity of documents related to Motor Vehicle Act 1988 and Central Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 which expired since 01.02.2020, as valid till 30.09.2021 as per letter No. RT-11036/35/2020-MVL dated 17.06.2021, <u>renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.47

- 1. Perused the judgment dated 08.03.2021 in WP(C)No.4084 of 2021(I) of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.
- 2. Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Thekkepotta-Thrissur. Also in compliance with the direction of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgment, <u>renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.48

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Pazhayannur-Palakkad-Thrissur. <u>Renewal of permit is</u> <u>granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.49

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Cherplassery-Malappuram. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit on the interdistrict route Keralassery-Kerala Estate. <u>Renewal of permit is</u> <u>granted</u>, subject to clearance of tax arrears and govt.dues if any, production of NOC from the financier, if applicable & subject to remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.51

Heard, regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL 49 A 8559 on the intra-district route Palakkad-Alathur is seen expired on 18.11.2019. Belated application for renewal of permit is filed on 06.02.2021(444 days after expiry of permit). This authority is not convinced on the reasons submitted by the applicant in the request to condone delay in filing application for renewal of permit in time. As the permit is expired and portion of the route falls on notified route, <u>decision on the application for renewal of permit is rejected</u>. This decision doesn't prevent the applicant from filing application for fresh permit as per law on the above route.

- 1. Heard, the counsel representing the applicant. This is an application dated 21.02.2004 for renewal of permit on the intradistrict route Marayamanglaam-Ottappalam which had validity up to 05.03.2004. On perusal of records, it is seen that after expiry of permit, the applicant had not taken any sincere efforts to put the vehicle continuously operational on the above route for the conveyance of travelling public by availing renewal of permit or filing successive applications for further renewals, other than by temporary permits on the broken periods which were issued on directions of Hon'ble High Court on various dates. On hearing, the applicant had no valid reasons to submit for his failure to use this stage carriage for service for which the permit was granted as required under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989. Hence, <u>application for renewal of permit is rejected</u>.
- 2. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 14.05.2021 in WP(C)No.10702/2021. In compliance with the direction of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala, considered the application for temporary permit to operate on the above route. The report on the application for temporary permit reveals that there is no urgent temporary need under Section 87(1) of Motor Vehicles Act 1988. Hence, application for <u>temporary permit is rejected.</u>

- 1. This is an application dated 09.11.2004 for renewal of permit on the inter-district route Mannarkkad-Perinthalmanna which had validity up to 09.11.2004. On perusal of records, it is seen that no proper notice is seen issued by Secretary to the applicant to offer an opportunity for hearing while this application is considered by this authority. Hence, decision on the application for renewal of permit is adjourned with direction to the Secretary to issue proper notice to the applicant Sri.Janardhanan and to place the matter before this authority in the next meeting.
- 2. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 07.05.2021 in WP(C)No.11193 of 2021. Perused the records and considered the application for temporary permit. KSRTC objected and alleged that there is an overlapping with notified route from Mannarkkad to Ariyampavu(7.5 Km) on route in which temporary permit is applied. The enquiry officer in his report dated 13.09.2021 has mentioned that there is no overlapping with notified sector. The above judgment also directs the Secretary, RTA, Palakkad to consider the application in accordance with law within a specified time frame. Hence, Secretary is directed to ascertain whether the allegation regarding overlapping with notified route raised by KSRTC is genuine and to take appropriate decision on the temporary permit application after hearing the applicant Sri.Janardhanan as per law. Hence, decision on the application for temporary permit is adjourned.

Item No.54.

- Heard, this is an in time application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 L 1453 on the inter-district route Koottanad-Edappal. Route covers five Kms in Malapuram district. <u>Renewal of permit is granted</u>, subject to : (1) remittance of application fee (2) clearance of Motor vehicles tax and Govt. Dues, if any (3) production of NOC from the Financier, if applicable.
- <u>Decision on the application for replacement of vehicle is</u> <u>adjourned</u> for endorsing renewal of permit granted as per the decision (1) above. On endorsing renewal in the permit, Secretary shall consider the application for replacement, as per law.

Heard, this is an application for replacement of stage carriage KL 13 Q 2247(covered by regular permit 9/624/2000 valid up to 17.042025 on the route Mannarkkad-Madathilkundu) by KL 10 AD 7577. The material difference exceeds 25% by reducing the seating capacity from 33 to 23, which will reduce the travelling facility of the public and revenue of the government in terms of motor vehicle tax. Hence, the <u>application</u> for replacement is rejected as per Rule174(2)(b) of KMV Rule,1989.

Item No.56

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 01.11.2021 in WP(C) No.22175 of 2021.
- 2. This is an application for replacement of stage carriage KL 48 B 3132(covered by regular permit 9/633/2004 valid up to 23.06.2024 on the route Shornur-Guruvayur) by KL 08 BR 0459. The material difference exceeds 25% by reducing the seating capacity from 48 to 34, which will reduce the travelling facility of the public and revenue of the government in terms of motor vehicle tax. In compliance with the direction of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the judgment above, the applicant was heard, also by perusing Note to Rule 174 of KMV Rules 1989, as per Rule 174(2)(b), <u>the application for replacement is rejected.</u>

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 27.09.2021 in WP(C) No.18565 of 2021.
- 2. This is an application for replacement of stage carriage KL52 D 6066 (covered by regular permit 9/6006/2007 valid up to 07.11.2024 on the route Valanchery-Pattambi) by KL 52 Q 5078. The material difference exceeds 25% by reducing the seating capacity from 38 to 28, which will reduce the travelling facility of the public and revenue of the government in terms of motor vehicle tax. In compliance with the direction of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the judgment above, the applicant was heard, also by perusing Note to Rule 174 of KMV Rules 1989, as per Rule 174(2)(b), <u>the application for replacement is rejected since it will reduce the travelling</u> facility of the public.

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid.

Item No.59

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid.

Item No.60

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.61

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.63

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.64

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.65

This is an application for transfer of permit from the name of Sri.Gireesan to the name of Sri.M Hafsal. On perusal of records it is seen that earlier transfer of this permit was allowed to the name of Sri.Abdul Nasar. The transfer is not availed so far. Though notice is seen issued to Abdul Nasar, he is not appeared before this authority for hearing. Transferor only appeared while considering this matter. Hence, decision on this **application for transfer of permit is adjourned** with direction to Secretary to hear all the above persons and re-submit the application with detailed report in the next meeting with due notices to all the concerned

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.67

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.68

Heard. <u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>, (1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if and (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.69

Heard. <u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>, (1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.70

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 28.09.2021 in WP(C) No.18568/2021.
- 2. Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.72

- 1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High court of Kerala dated 19340/2021 dated 24.09.2021
- 2. Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.73

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.74

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.76

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.77

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.78

The applicant is absent. Hence, the **decision on the application for transfer of permit is adjourned** with direction to Secretary RTA to place the matter in the next meeting of RTA with due notices to the applicants

Item No.79

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if and (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.81

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.82

Heard. <u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>, (1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if and (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.83

Heard.<u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>,(1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any (3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.84

Heard. <u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>, (1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any(3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.86

Heard. <u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>, (1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any(3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.87

Heard. <u>Transfer of permit is allowed</u>, (1) subject to the stipulations laid down regarding the age of stage carriages in G.O.(P) No.4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 and (2)subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt.dues, if any(3) subject to remittance fees for transfer of permit, if not paid

Item No.88

Heard the counsel representing the applicant and considered the application for the re-issue of LAPT license(NO.KL09/01/2019).The report submitted by the enquiry officer reveals that the applicant has provided CCTV facility with back up for only one week. Under Rule 193 of Kerala Motor Vehicle Rule 1989, CCTV facility with back up data storage upto six months is necessary condition for issuing or renewing the LAPT license. The above condition is not seen complied by applicant as per the enquiry report. The decision on the the application renewal of LAPT License is adjourned with direction to the applicant to comply the provisions prescribed in the circular No 74/B1/2019/Tran dated 26.04.2019 as per Rule 193 of KMV Rule,1989 and intimate to Secretary. On receipt of intimation, the Secretary RTA shall obtain fresh report on the application and place the matter in the next meeting of RTA along with the enquiry report for reconsideration

Ratified by granting the renewals of regular stage carriage permits

Mrunmai Joshi IAS, District Collector, Palakkad & Chairman-RTA,Palakkad

R.Viswanadh District Police Chief Palakkad & member RTA, Palakkad A.K.Sasikumar, Deputy Transport Commissioner Central Zone-1, Thrissur & member, RTA, Palakkad.